

The Schoen–Yau Positive Mass Theorem

Memo Glaser
`memo.glaser@icloud.com`

Euler Circle

July 18, 2026

- Explain comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry.
- Explain the positive mass theorem.
- Show why it is important in geometry and general relativity.
- Outline Schoen and Yau's proof.
- conclusion
- Questions?
- References

- Gravity is described by geometry.
- Curvature represents gravitational effects.
- Local curvature determines global properties.

Why ADM Mass Matters

- Portrait of mass and energy in space-time.
- Measures total energy of gravity.
- Observed from spatial infinity.
- Rules out isolated systems with negative total mass (shown by the theorem, which will show part of the proof for today).

- **Metric Tensor**

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j,$$

defines lengths, angles, and distances on a manifold.

- **Scalar Curvature**

$$R = g^{ij} R_{ij},$$

the trace of the Ricci tensor, measuring the intrinsic curvature of a manifold at a point.

- **ADM Mass** which measures the total mass (including gravitational energy) of an asymptotically flat spacetime.

Main Theorem

For a complete asymptotically flat manifold of dimension $3 \leq n \leq 7$ with

$$R \geq 0,$$

the ADM mass satisfies

$$m_{\text{ADM}} \geq 0.$$

If the manifold is Euclidean space, the Equality holds.

Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry

Comparison theorems compare the geometry of a manifold with a model space whose curvature is known.

They show that *local curvature conditions determine global geometric properties* such as

- distances,
- angles,
- volume,
- topology,
- or total mass.

The Schoen–Yau Positive Mass Theorem is a comparison theorem because it compares

Local Scalar Curvature



Global ADM Mass.

Curvature in Riemannian Geometry

The central idea of Riemannian geometry is curvature.

A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a space equipped with a metric tensor

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j,$$

which measures lengths, angles, and distances.

Curvature measures how the geometry of a manifold differs from flat Euclidean space.

- Zero curvature: Euclidean space.
- Positive curvature: spherical geometry.
- Negative curvature: hyperbolic geometry.

The scalar curvature

$$R = g^{ij} R_{ij},$$

summarizes the average curvature at each point of the manifold.

Comparison theorems show that **local curvature controls global geometry**.

Rather than computing an entire manifold, they compare it with a model space of constant curvature.

Toponogov's Comparison Theorem

If a complete Riemannian manifold satisfies

$$K_M \geq k,$$

then every geodesic triangle is at least as "fat" as the corresponding triangle in the simply-connected space of constant curvature k .

Schoen–Yau Positive Mass Theorem

If

$$R \geq 0,$$

on a complete asymptotically flat manifold, then

$$m_{\text{ADM}} \geq 0.$$

Instead of comparing triangles, it compares

local scalar curvature

$\implies$

global ADM mass.

Proof Strategy

- 1 Assume

$$m_{\text{ADM}} < 0.$$

- 2 Construct a new asymptotically flat metric.

$$\tilde{g} = u^4 g,$$

where u is chosen so that

$$R_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0.$$

- 3 Produce a complete stable minimal surface.

$$\Sigma \subset (M, \tilde{g}).$$

- 4 Use the stability inequality and curvature estimates.
stability relating perimeter to volume
- 5 by obtaining a contradiction, prove

$$m_{\text{ADM}} \geq 0.$$

Stable Minimal Surfaces

- A minimal surface satisfies

$$H = 0,$$

where H is the mean curvature.

- Stability implies

$$\int_{\Sigma} (|\nabla \phi|^2 - (\operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu) + |A|^2) \phi^2) \geq 0.$$

- Gauss equation:

$$2K = R - 2 \operatorname{Ric}(\nu, \nu) - |A|^2,$$

where K is the Gaussian curvature.

- Gauss–Bonnet:

$$2\pi\chi(\Sigma).$$

Contradiction

- Since

$$R \geq 0,$$

the stability inequality gives

$$\int_{\Sigma} K \, dA \geq 0.$$

- By Gauss–Bonnet,

$$\int_{\Sigma} K \, dA = 2\pi\chi(\Sigma),$$

this forces strong topological restrictions on Σ .

- These restrictions contradict the existence of the stable minimizing surface derived from our assumption

$$m_{\text{ADM}} < 0.$$

- Therefore,

$$m_{\text{ADM}} \geq 0.$$

Applications of the Positive Mass Theorem

The Positive Mass Theorem has had a profound impact on mathematical relativity. Two important developments are:

1. Witten's Spinor Proof (1981)

- Gave a shorter proof of the Positive Mass Theorem for spin manifolds.
- Used spinors and the Dirac operator instead of minimal surface techniques.
- Bridged supersymmetry and quantum field theory to differential geometry.

2. Bray's Proof of the Riemannian Penrose Inequality (2001)

- Used the Positive Mass Theorem as a key in the proof.
- Established a conformal flow of metrics.
- Proved the Riemannian Penrose Inequality
- Relation between ADM mass and the area of its black hole horizon.

$$m_{\text{ADM}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{A}{16\pi}},$$

- The Positive Mass Theorem links local curvature to global mass.
- Fundamental result in differential geometry.
- Foundation for general relativity and mathematical physics.

Questions?

References I



R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau,

On the Proof of the Positive Mass Conjecture in General Relativity, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 1979.



E. Witten,

A New Proof of the Positive Energy Theorem, Communications in Mathematical Physics, 1981.



H. Bray,

Proof of the Riemannian Penrose Inequality Using the Positive Mass Theorem, Journal of Differential Geometry, 2001.



J. Cheeger and D. Ebin,

Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry, North-Holland, 1975.



P. Petersen,

Riemannian Geometry, Springer.



M. P. do Carmo,

Riemannian Geometry, Birkhäuser.