

# When are binomial coefficients perfect powers?

Rasika Iyer

## 1 Introduction

When is  $\binom{n}{k}$  equal to  $m^l$ ? It is fairly simple to see that there are infinitely many solutions when  $k = l = 2$ . That is,  $\binom{n}{2} = m^2$ . From here, we know

$$\begin{aligned}n(n-1) &= 2m^2 \\(2n-1)^2((2n-1)^2-1) &= 2m^2 \\(2n-1)^2 4n(n-1) &= 2m^2 \\(2(2m(2n-1)))^2 &= 2m^2 \\ \binom{(2n-1)^2}{k} &= (2m(2n-1))^2.\end{aligned}$$

Starting with  $\binom{9}{2} = 6^2$ , we obtain infinitely many solutions, with the next one being  $\binom{289}{2} = 204^2$ . However, this does not yield all the solutions. For example,  $\binom{50}{2} = 35^2$  starts another sequence, as does  $\binom{1682}{2} = 1189^2$ . For  $k = 3$ , it is known that  $\binom{n}{3} = m^2$  has the unique solution  $n = 50$  and  $m = 140$ . But now, we are at the end of the line. For  $k \geq 4$  and  $l \geq 2$ , no solutions exist. We will prove that this is true for  $l = 2$ . An extension is required to prove that  $l \geq 3$ , but the crux of the proof lies below.

## 2 Binomial coefficients are (almost) never powers

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 2k$ , the equation  $\binom{n}{k} = m^l$  has no integer solution with  $l \geq 2$  and  $4 \leq k \leq n-4$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the theorem is false, and that  $\binom{n}{k} = m^l$ . We begin with, a strengthening of Bertrand's postulate:

**Lemma 2.1** (Sylvester's Theorem). *If  $n \geq 2k$ , then at least one of the numbers  $n, n-1, \dots, n-k+1$  has a prime divisor  $p$  greater than  $k$ .*

Note that for  $n = 2k$  we obtain precisely Bertrand's postulate.

*Remark 2.1.* There is an equivalent of stating Sylvester's Theorem: For  $n \geq 2k$ , the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)}{k!}$$

always has a prime factor  $p > k$ .

By Lemma 2.1, there is a prime factor  $p$  of  $\binom{n}{k}$  greater than  $k$ , hence  $p^l$  divides  $n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)$ . Clearly, only one of the factors  $n-i$  can be a multiple of  $p$  (because of  $p > k$ ), and we conclude  $p^l | n-i$ , and therefore

$$n \geq p^l > k^l \geq k^2 \tag{1}$$

Consider any factor of the numerator written in the form  $n-j = a_j m_j^l$ , where  $a_j$  is not divisible by any nontrivial  $l$ -th power.

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $i = j$ , then  $a_i = a_j$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i < j$ . Then,  $m_i \geq m_j + 1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} k &> (n-i) - (n-j) = a_j(m_i^l - m_j^l) \geq a_j((m_j+1)^l - m_j^l) \\ &> a_j l m_j^{l-1} \geq l(a_j m_j^l)^{1/2} \geq l(n-k+1)^{1/2} \\ &\geq l\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)^{1/2} > n^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts  $n > k^2$  from Equation 1. ■

Next, we need to prove that the  $a_i$ 's are the integers  $1, 2, \dots, k$  in some order. Since, by Lemma 2.2, they are all distinct, it suffices to prove that

$$a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k-1} \text{ divides } k!$$

Substituting  $n-j = a_j m_j^l$  into the equation  $\binom{n}{k}$ , we obtain

$$a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k-1} (m_0 m_1 \dots m_{k-1})^l = k! m^l$$

Cancelling out the common factors between  $m_0 m_1 \dots m_{k-1}$  and  $m$  yields

$$a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k-1} u^l = k! v^l$$

with  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ . It remains to show  $v = 1$ . If not, then  $v$  contains a prime divisor  $p$ . Since  $\gcd(u, v) = 1$ ,  $p$  must be a prime divisor of  $a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k-1}$  and hence is less than or equal to  $k$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Legendre's theorem). *The number  $n!$  contains the prime factor  $p$  exactly*

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor$$

*times.*

By Lemma 2.3, we know that  $k!$  contains  $p$  to the power  $\sum_{i \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^i} \right\rfloor$ . We now estimate the exponent of  $p$  in  $n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)$ . Let  $i$  be a positive integer, and let  $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_s$  be the multiples of  $p^i$  among  $n, n-1, \dots, n-k+1$ . Then  $b_s = b_1 + (s-1)p^i$  and hence

$$(s-1)p^i = b_s - b_1 \leq n - (n-k+1) = k-1,$$

which implies

$$s \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p^i} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

So for each  $i$  the number of multiples of  $p^i$  among  $n, \dots, n-k+1$ , and hence among the  $a_j$ 's, is bounded by  $\left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor + 1$ . This implies that the exponent of  $p$  in  $a_0 a_1 \dots a_{k-1}$  is at most

$$\sum_{i \geq 1}^{l-1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$

Taking both counts together, we find that the exponent of  $p$  in  $v^l$  is at most

$$\sum_{i \geq 1}^{l-1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) - \sum_{i \geq 1}^{l-1} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{p^i} \right\rfloor \leq l-1,$$

and we have our desired contradiction, since  $v^l$  is an  $l$ -th power. Indeed, since  $k \geq 4$  one of the  $a_i$ 's must be equal to 4, but the  $a_i$ 's contains no squares. ■