Monsky's Theorem

Sanjay Gollapudi

June 6, 2018

1 Introduction

Theorem 1.1. It is not possible to dissect a square into an odd number of triangles with equal areas.

One result we will use to prove this theorem is Sperner's lemma.

Lemma 1.2 (Sperner's Lemma). Every Sperner coloring of a triangulation of an n-dimensional simplex contains a cell colored with a complete set of colors.

Note that a simplex is a generalization of the notion of a triangle or tetrahedron to a random dimension.



Figure 1: Depiction of the method of the proof of Sperner's lemma.

Proof. We present a case analysis.

- Case:1-dimensional. There is a line segment (a, b) divided into smaller segments, and we color the vertices of each subdivision with one of 2 colors. It is required that a and b receive different colors. Thus we must switch color an odd number of times, so that we get a different color for b. As a result there is an odd number of small segments that receive two different colors.
- Case:2-dimensional. (note that 1, 2, and 3 are different colors) Colored simplicial subdivision of a triangle T. Let Q denote the number of cells colored (1, 1, 2) or (1, 2, 2), and R the number of multi cells, colored (1, 2, 3). Consider edges in the subdivision whose endpoints receive colors 1 and 2. Let X denote the number of boundary edges colored (1, 2), and Y the number of interior edges colored (1, 2) (inside the triangle T). Now count in 2 ways -
 - 1. Cells of the subdivision: For each cell of type Q, we get 2 edges colored (1,2), while for each cell of type R, we get exactly 1 such edge. Using this logic we also know that the number of interior edges (Y) (1,2) are counted twice and the boundary edges (X) (1,2) are only counted once. Thus we have that 2Q + R = X + 2Y.

2. Boundary of T: Edges colored (1, 2) can be only inside the edge between two vertices of T colored 1 and 2. As a result of the 1-dimensional case, between 1 and 2 there must be an odd number of edges colored (1, 2). Hence, X is odd. This implies that R is also odd based upon our previous conclusion.