

Proofs of the Quadratic Reciprocity Theorems

Antarish Rautela

November 6, 2018

1 Quadratic Reciprocity Law

If the primes p and q are odd, then $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$, or, $(1) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}$ if and only if $p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $(1)^{\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}}$ otherwise.

2 Gauss's Lemma

Let p be an odd prime, q be an integer co-prime to p . Consider the set $\{q, 2q, \dots, \frac{(q)(p-1)}{2}\}$ and view each member as an integer in $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. Let u be the number of members in this set that are greater than $\frac{p}{2}$. Then

$$\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^u$$

3 Proof of Gauss's Lemma

Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_t\}$ be the members of the set less than $\frac{p}{2}$, and $\{c_1, \dots, c_u\}$ be the members greater than $\frac{p}{2}$. Then $u + t = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Consider the sequence $0 < b_1, \dots, b_t, p - c_1, \dots, p - c_u < p/2$. Each of these are distinct: clearly $b_i \neq b_j$ and $c_i \neq c_j$ whenever $i \neq j$, and if $b_i = p - c_j$, then let $b_i = rq, c_j = sq$. Then $r + s = 0$, which is a contradiction since $0 < r, s < \frac{p}{2}$. Hence they must be the numbers $\{0, 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}\}$ in some order. Thus, $q(2q)\dots(q(p-1)/2) = b_1\dots b_t c_1\dots c_u = (-1)^u b_1\dots b_t (p - c_1)\dots(p - c_u) = (-1)^u \left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!$ Divide both sides by $\frac{p-1}{2}!$ and we complete the proof.

4 Theorem 1

Let p be an odd prime and q be an integer coprime to p . Let $m = \lfloor q/p \rfloor + \lfloor 2q/p \rfloor + \dots + \lfloor ((p-1)/2)q/p \rfloor$. Then $m = [u + q - 1] \pmod{2}$, where u is the number of elements in $\{q, 2q, \dots, q(p-1)/2\}$ which have a residue greater than $\frac{p}{2}$. When q is odd $m = u \pmod{2}$.

4.1

Proof For any i such that i is an integer between 1, and $\frac{p-1}{2}$, inclusive, the equation $iq = p\lfloor iq/p \rfloor + r_i$ holds for some r_i such that r_i is an integer between 0 and p inclusive. Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t be the numbers in the set less than $\frac{p}{2}$, while c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t be all the other numbers. Summing two equations with b_i and c_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} q(p^2 - 1)/8 &= pm + b_1 + \dots + b_t + c_1 + \dots + c_u \\ &= pm + b_1 + \dots + b_t + up + (p - c_1) + \dots + (p - c_u) \\ &= pm + up + 1 + 2 + \dots + (p - 1)/2 \\ &= pm + up + (p^2 - 1)/8 \end{aligned}$$

Since p is odd, $m = u + q - 12$.

5 Proof of Quadratic Reciprocity Law

Using the theorem before, all that's left to prove is that $m+n = (p-1)(q-1)/4$. Where n is m except when all p 's are q 's and vice versa. The difference $py - qx$ when x and y equal $1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$, and $1, 2, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}$, respectively. Therefore, there are a total of $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}$ possible differences. None of them are zero and n of them are positive and m of them are negative.

6 Eisenstein's Proof

Let line L be a line that runs through $(0,0)$ and (p,q) , which can be written as $y = \frac{qx}{p}$, and consider the rectangle R which has corners at $(0,0)$, $(0, \frac{q}{2})$, $(\frac{p}{2}, \frac{q}{2})$, and $(\frac{p}{2}, 0)$. We can find the number of lattice points in R . By finding the area of the rectangle we get $\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}$. Another way to count is to count the number points above and below L inside R . This is true since there are no lattice points on L since p , and q are co-prime. We can see that the points on $x=1$ have y coordinates $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{q}{p} \rfloor$. And the points on $x=2$ have y coordinates $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{2q}{p} \rfloor$. And the points on $x=3$ have y coordinates $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{3q}{p} \rfloor$. So then the points on $x=j$ have y coordinates $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{jq}{p} \rfloor$. Which gives a total of m points below L in R . And there are n points above L in R .

7 Restating Eisenstein's Proof algebraically

Consider the numbers $px - qy$ for $x = 1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $y = 1, \dots, \frac{q-1}{2}$. There are a total of $(p-1)(q-1)/4$ numbers, not necessarily distinct. None are zero since p , and q are co-prime. We can observe n of them are positive while q of them are negative.