

# On Modular Forms and Hecke Operators

---

Vedant Valluri

July 11, 2025

- Define modular forms
- Vectors spaces and the dimension formula
- Define Hecke operators
- Ramanujan tau conjecture

# Modular Forms

---

# What is a modular form?

- A modular form is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies symmetries over the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

# What is a modular form?

- A modular form is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies symmetries over the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- A  $2 \times 2$  matrix is in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  if it has integer entries and determinant 1.

# What is a modular form?

- A modular form is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies symmetries over the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- A  $2 \times 2$  matrix is in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  if it has integer entries and determinant 1.
- A matrix  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acts on  $\tau$  as  $\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ .

# What is a modular form?

- A modular form is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies symmetries over the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- A  $2 \times 2$  matrix is in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  if it has integer entries and determinant 1.
- A matrix  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acts on  $\tau$  as  $\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ .
- A modular form of weight  $k$  satisfies  $f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$ .

# What is a modular form?

- A modular form is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that satisfies symmetries over the special linear group  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .
- A  $2 \times 2$  matrix is in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  if it has integer entries and determinant 1.
- A matrix  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  acts on  $\tau$  as  $\gamma\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$  for  $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ .
- A modular form of weight  $k$  satisfies  $f(\gamma\tau) = (c\tau + d)^k f(\tau)$ .
- We denote the set of modular forms over  $\Gamma$  as  $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma)$ .



The matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  gives the relation  $f(\tau + 1) = f(\tau)$  meaning each modular form is 1-periodic. We can write the Fourier expansion for  $f$  as

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ .

The matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  gives the relation  $f(\tau + 1) = f(\tau)$  meaning each modular form is 1-periodic. We can write the Fourier expansion for  $f$  as

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ . A modular form with  $a_0 = 0$  is called a *cusp form*.

The matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  gives the relation  $f(\tau + 1) = f(\tau)$  meaning each modular form is 1-periodic. We can write the Fourier expansion for  $f$  as

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n q^n$$

where  $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$ . A modular form with  $a_0 = 0$  is called a *cusp form*. We say that the set of cusp forms is  $\mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma)$  where  $k$  is the weight in both cases.

Given a complex lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\langle 1, \tau \rangle$  we say that the *Eisenstein series* of weight  $k \geq 2$  is

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\omega^k}.$$

Given a complex lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\langle 1, \tau \rangle$  we say that the *Eisenstein series* of weight  $k \geq 2$  is

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\omega^k}.$$

This is modular form of weight  $k$ .

## Examples

Given a complex lattice  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$  generated by  $\langle 1, \tau \rangle$  we say that the *Eisenstein series* of weight  $k \geq 2$  is

$$G_k(\tau) = \sum_{\omega \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{\omega^k}.$$

This is modular form of weight  $k$ .

Another important modular form is the *discriminant function*. This is defined as

$$\Delta(\tau) = (40G_4)^3 - 27(140G_6)^2$$

This is a weight 12 cusp form.

Sometimes we want to study modular forms that satisfy the  $(c\tau + d)^k$  relation only for a subgroup  $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  instead of the whole thing. These are called *congruence subgroups*.

# Congruence subgroups - continued

The main ones of importance are:



# Congruence subgroups - continued

The main ones of importance are:

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Congruence subgroups - continued

The main ones of importance are:

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Congruence subgroups - continued

The main ones of importance are:

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Congruence subgroups - continued

The main ones of importance are:

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

A modular form defined over  $\Gamma \supset \Gamma(N)$  is said to have *level*  $N$ . Modular forms over  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma(1)$  have level 1.

A modular curve  $Y(\Gamma)$  for congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  is defined as the orbit space  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H} = \{\Gamma\tau : \tau \in \mathbb{H}\}$ . These modular curves can be given the structure of a compact Riemann surface when we add the *cusps* to it and these compact Riemann surfaces are denoted as  $X(\Gamma)$ .

# Vector spaces of modular forms

The space of modular forms and cusp forms actually form vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can use modular curves and the Riemann-Roch theorem to derive a formula for the dimension of these vector spaces.

# Vector spaces of modular forms

The space of modular forms and cusp forms actually form vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can use modular curves and the Riemann-Roch theorem to derive a formula for the dimension of these vector spaces.

## Theorem (Dimension Formula)

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup, let  $g$  denote the genus of  $X(\Gamma)$  and let  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ , and  $\varepsilon_\infty$  denote the number of "elliptic points" of orders 2 and 3, and the number of cusps, respectively. Then for any even integer  $k \geq 2$ , the space of modular forms of weight  $k$  satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = (k-1)(g-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_3 + \frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_\infty,$$

# Vector spaces of modular forms

The space of modular forms and cusp forms actually form vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can use modular curves and the Riemann-Roch theorem to derive a formula for the dimension of these vector spaces.

## Theorem (Dimension Formula)

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup, let  $g$  denote the genus of  $X(\Gamma)$  and let  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ , and  $\varepsilon_\infty$  denote the number of "elliptic points" of orders 2 and 3, and the number of cusps, respectively. Then for any even integer  $k \geq 2$ , the space of modular forms of weight  $k$  satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = (k-1)(g-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_3 + \frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_\infty,$$

*and the dimension of the space of cusp forms is*

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) = \dim \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) - \varepsilon_\infty.$$



# Vector spaces of modular forms

The space of modular forms and cusp forms actually form vector spaces over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We can use modular curves and the Riemann-Roch theorem to derive a formula for the dimension of these vector spaces.

## Theorem (Dimension Formula)

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup, let  $g$  denote the genus of  $X(\Gamma)$  and let  $\varepsilon_2$ ,  $\varepsilon_3$ , and  $\varepsilon_\infty$  denote the number of "elliptic points" of orders 2 and 3, and the number of cusps, respectively. Then for any even integer  $k \geq 2$ , the space of modular forms of weight  $k$  satisfies*

$$\dim \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) = (k-1)(g-1) + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{4} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_2 + \left\lfloor \frac{k}{3} \right\rfloor \varepsilon_3 + \frac{k}{2} \varepsilon_\infty,$$

*and the dimension of the space of cusp forms is*

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_k(\Gamma) = \dim \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma) - \varepsilon_\infty.$$

For example the space of cusp forms of weight 12 has dimension 1.

# Hecke Operators

---

# What is a Hecke operator?

A *Hecke operator* is a linear operator from  $\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ . It acts as a kind of "averaging operator". For simplicity's sake we'll only look at Hecke operators on level 1 modular forms. We define the operators  $T_p$  and  $T_n$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $n$  is just a positive integer.

# What is a Hecke operator?

A *Hecke operator* is a linear operator from  $\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ . It acts as a kind of "averaging operator". For simplicity's sake we'll only look at Hecke operators on level 1 modular forms. We define the operators  $T_p$  and  $T_n$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $n$  is just a positive integer. We get that  $T_p$  acts on  $f$  as

$$T_p f(\tau) = p^{k-1} f(p\tau) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right).$$

# What is a Hecke operator?

A *Hecke operator* is a linear operator from  $\mathcal{M}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_k$ . It acts as a kind of "averaging operator". For simplicity's sake we'll only look at Hecke operators on level 1 modular forms. We define the operators  $T_p$  and  $T_n$  where  $p$  is a prime and  $n$  is just a positive integer. We get that  $T_p$  acts on  $f$  as

$$T_p f(\tau) = p^{k-1} f(p\tau) + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{\tau+j}{p}\right).$$

It can be shown that  $T_p$  acts on the Fourier coefficients in the following way:

$$a_n(T_p f) = a_{pn}(f) + p^{k-1} a_{n/p}(f).$$

## Hecke operators - continued

Let's define  $T_{p^r}$ , where  $p$  is a prime, recursively as follows:

$$T_{p^r} = T_p T_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1} T_{p^{r-2}}.$$

From here we can extend the definition to  $T_n$  where  $T_1$  is the identity and

$$T_n = \prod T_{p_i^{e_i}} \text{ where } p_i \text{ are prime and } n = \prod p_i^{e_i}.$$

Notice this means that we have  $T_n T_m = T_{nm}$  when  $(n, m) = 1$ .

# Hecke operators - continued

Let's define  $T_{p^r}$ , where  $p$  is a prime, recursively as follows:

$$T_{p^r} = T_p T_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1} T_{p^{r-2}}.$$

From here we can extend the definition to  $T_n$  where  $T_1$  is the identity and

$$T_n = \prod T_{p_i^{e_i}} \text{ where } p_i \text{ are prime and } n = \prod p_i^{e_i}.$$

Notice this means that we have  $T_n T_m = T_{nm}$  when  $(n, m) = 1$ .

With some simplification we can see that  $T_n$  acts on the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  as

$$a_m(T_n f) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1} a_{mn/d^2}(f)$$

## Hecke operators - continued

Let's define  $T_{p^r}$ , where  $p$  is a prime, recursively as follows:

$$T_{p^r} = T_p T_{p^{r-1}} - p^{k-1} T_{p^{r-2}}.$$

From here we can extend the definition to  $T_n$  where  $T_1$  is the identity and

$$T_n = \prod T_{p_i^{e_i}} \text{ where } p_i \text{ are prime and } n = \prod p_i^{e_i}.$$

Notice this means that we have  $T_n T_m = T_{nm}$  when  $(n, m) = 1$ .

With some simplification we can see that  $T_n$  acts on the Fourier coefficients of  $f$  as

$$a_m(T_n f) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} d^{k-1} a_{mn/d^2}(f)$$

From this we get that  $a_1(T_n f) = a_n(f)$



## Theorem (Ramanujan tau conjecture)

*Given the modular discriminant function*

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \dots$$

(a)  $\tau(n)\tau(m) = \tau(mn)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$

(b) For prime  $p$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\tau(p^{j+1}) = \tau(p)\tau(p^j) - p^{11}\tau(p^{j-1})$

(c) For prime  $p$ ,  $|\tau(p)| \leq 2p^{11/2}$ \*

## Theorem (Ramanujan tau conjecture)

*Given the modular discriminant function*

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + \dots$$

(a)  $\tau(n)\tau(m) = \tau(mn)$  if  $(m, n) = 1$

(b) For prime  $p$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $\tau(p^{j+1}) = \tau(p)\tau(p^j) - p^{11}\tau(p^{j-1})$

(c) For prime  $p$ ,  $|\tau(p)| \leq 2p^{11/2}$ \*

*\*This was proved as a result of the Weil conjectures and is beyond the scope of this paper.*

## Proof.

We know that the Hecke operator takes  $\mathcal{S}_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and recall that  $\dim \mathcal{S}_{12}(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$ . This means that if we apply  $T_n$  to this function, we must have  $T_n\Delta = \lambda_n\Delta$ . Recall from before that  $a_1(T_nf) = a_n$  so we get

$$a_1(T_n\Delta) = a_n(\Delta).$$

This means that

$$T_n\Delta = \tau(n)q + \cdots.$$

We must have that  $T_n\Delta = \tau(n)\Delta$ . Since  $T_mT_n = T_{mn}$  if  $(m, n) = 1$  and we know that the *eigenvalue* of  $T_n$  is  $\tau(n)$  we get that  $\tau(m)\tau(n) = \tau(mn)$  when  $(m, n) = 1$ .

# Ramanujan tau conjecture - continued

Let's apply  $T_p$  to  $\Delta$ . If we look at the coefficient of  $p^r$  (which is  $\tau(p)\tau(p^r)$  because  $\tau(p)$  is the eigenvalue) we get

$$\tau(p)\tau(p^r) = a_{p^{r+1}}(\Delta) + p^{11}a_{p^{r-1}}(\Delta)$$

Rearranging, we get the formula

$$\tau(p^{r+1}) = \tau(p)\tau(p^r) - p^{11}\tau(p^{r-1}).$$



Thank you for listening!