

Euler-Maclaurin Formula

Isaac Sun

Euler Circle

July 8th 2022

Background

Euler discovered the Euler-Maclaurin Formula in 1732 through the Basel Problem, which asks for the value of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$. It was later independently discovered by Maclaurin in 1742. The Basel problem had stumped mathematicians for around 90 years prior to Euler's solution.

Background

Euler discovered the Euler-Maclaurin Formula in 1732 through the Basel Problem, which asks for the value of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2}$. It was later independently discovered by Maclaurin in 1742. The Basel problem had stumped mathematicians for around 90 years prior to Euler's solution.

Euler's solution consisted of solving for the coefficient of x^3 in the infinite expansion of $\sin x$ in two ways. He calculated it as $-\frac{1}{6}$ through the Maclaurin Series of $\sin x$ and used a factorization known as the Weierstrass Factorization to show that the coefficient could also be expressed as $-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n\pi)^2}$. Setting these equal with some slight rearranging gives us that the answer to the Basel Problem is $\frac{\pi^2}{6}$.

Euler seemed to be dissatisfied with only an answer to the Basel Problem and wanted to be able to solve or approximate infinite series with their respective integrals. However, when deriving the Euler-Maclaurin Formula, both Euler and Maclaurin were unable to solve for the exact remainder term and it wasn't until 1823 when Poisson discovered it.

Definitions

Definition

The Bernoulli numbers b_n can be defined by the following power series:

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

Definitions

Definition

The Bernoulli numbers b_n can be defined by the following power series:

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

The first few terms are as follows:

n	b_n
0	1
1	$-\frac{1}{2}$
2	$\frac{1}{6}$
3	0
4	$-\frac{1}{30}$
5	0
6	$\frac{1}{42}$

There happens to be no simple pattern to the Bernoulli numbers, but a good approximation is

$$b_{2n} \approx (-1)^{n-1} 4\sqrt{\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{\pi e}\right)^{2n}$$

for large values of n .

Definitions

Definition

In a similar fashion, we can define the Bernoulli Polynomial for nonnegative integers n as such:

$$\frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

Definitions

Definition

In a similar fashion, we can define the Bernoulli Polynomial for nonnegative integers n as such:

$$\frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

or

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} b_k x^{n-k}$$

Definitions

Definition

In a similar fashion, we can define the Bernoulli Polynomial for nonnegative integers n as such:

$$\frac{ze^{zx}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

or

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} b_k x^{n-k}$$

The first few polynomials can be expressed as follows:

$$B_0(x) = 1$$

$$B_1(x) = x - \frac{1}{2}$$

$$B_2(x) = x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$$

Definition

We define the Periodic Bernoulli Function as such, where $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$:

$$P_n(x) = B_n(\{x\})$$

This will be used to express the remainder term.

Euler-Maclaurin theorem

For a function $f(x)$ that is p times differentiable on the interval $[m, n]$ for a positive integer p , we have

$$\sum_{i=m}^n f(i) = \int_m^n f(x) dx + \frac{f(n) + f(m)}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^p \frac{b_k}{k!} (f^{(k-1)}(n) - f^{(k-1)}(m)) + R_p$$

where

$$R_p = (-1)^{p+1} \int_m^n f^{(p)}(x) \frac{P_p(x)}{p!} dx$$

Proof

We proceed with an outline of proof by induction.

Consider the integral

$$\int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} u dv$$

where k is an integer

Proof

We proceed with an outline of proof by induction.

Consider the integral

$$\int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} u dv$$

where k is an integer and

$$u = f(x)$$

$$du = f'(x) dx$$

$$dv = P_0(x) dx$$

$$v = P_1(x)$$

Proof

We proceed with an outline of proof by induction.

Consider the integral

$$\int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} u dv$$

where k is an integer and

$$u = f(x)$$

$$du = f'(x) dx$$

$$dv = P_0(x) dx$$

$$v = P_1(x)$$

Integrating by parts gives us

$$\int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx = [uv]_k^{k+1} - \int_k^{k+1} v du$$

Proof

We proceed with an outline of proof by induction.

Consider the integral

$$\int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx = \int_k^{k+1} u dv$$

where k is an integer and

$$u = f(x)$$

$$du = f'(x) dx$$

$$dv = P_0(x) dx$$

$$v = P_1(x)$$

Integrating by parts gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_k^{k+1} f(x) dx &= [uv]_k^{k+1} - \int_k^{k+1} v du \\ &= B_1(1)f(k+1) - B_1(0)f(k) - \int_k^{k+1} f'(x)P_1(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Summing k from 0 to $n - 1$ and plugging in $B_1(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\int_0^1 f(x)dx + \int_1^2 f(x)dx + \dots + \int_{n-1}^n f(x)dx = \int_0^n f(x)dx$$

Summing k from 0 to $n - 1$ and plugging in $B_1(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 f(x)dx + \int_1^2 f(x)dx + \dots + \int_{n-1}^n f(x)dx = \int_0^n f(x)dx \\ &= \frac{f(0)}{2} + f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n-1) + \frac{f(n)}{2} - \int_0^n f'(x)P_1(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Summing k from 0 to $n - 1$ and plugging in $B_1(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $B_1(1) = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(x)dx + \int_1^2 f(x)dx + \dots + \int_{n-1}^n f(x)dx &= \int_0^n f(x)dx \\ &= \frac{f(0)}{2} + f(1) + f(2) + \dots + f(n-1) + \frac{f(n)}{2} - \int_0^n f'(x)P_1(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Some simple rearranging gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f(k) = \int_0^n f(x)dx + \frac{f(n) + f(0)}{2} + \int_0^n f'(x)P_1(x)$$

which concludes the base case.

Now let's take a look at the step to the case where $p = 2$.

Now let's take a look at the step to the case where $p = 2$.

Consider the error term for the case $p = 1$

$$\int_k^{k+1} f'(x)P_1(x)dx = \int_k^{k+1} u dv$$

where

$$u = f'(x)$$

$$du = f''(x)dx$$

$$dv = P_1(x)dx$$

$$v = \frac{1}{2}P_2(x)$$

Again, integrating by parts gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_k^{k+1} f'(x)P_1(x)dx &= [uv]_k^{k+1} - \int_k^{k+1} vdu \\ &= \frac{b_2}{2}(f'(k+1) - f'(k)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_k^{k+1} f''(x)P_2(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

Summing k from 0 to $n-1$ once again gives us the $p=2$ case where

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f(k) = \int_0^n f(x)dx + \frac{f(n) + f(0)}{2} + \frac{b_2}{2}(f'(n) - f'(0)) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^n f''(x)P_2(x)dx$$

Euler's Constant

Something interesting happens when we consider the formula for $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Let $m = 1$ and $p = 1$ in the formula and we result the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = \log n + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^n \frac{P_1(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Euler's Constant

Something interesting happens when we consider the formula for $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Let $m = 1$ and $p = 1$ in the formula and we result the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = \log n + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^n \frac{P_1(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Now let

$$R(n) = \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^n \frac{P_1(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Euler's Constant

Something interesting happens when we consider the formula for $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$. Let $m = 1$ and $p = 1$ in the formula and we result the following:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = \log n + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^n \frac{P_1(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Now let

$$R(n) = \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2} + \int_1^n \frac{P_1(x)}{x^2} dx$$

Note that $P_1(x)$'s absolute value is bounded by $\frac{1}{2}$ and thus $R(n)$ converges when n approaches ∞ . Let us denote $\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R(n)$.

Euler's Constant

A good approximation for Euler's Constant is

$\gamma = 0.5772156649015328606065120900\dots$ It's still an open problem whether γ is rational or not and some even believe it is transcendental. What do you think it is?