

Plateau's Problem-Minimal Surfaces

Irmak Zelal Cengiz

August 2025

Introduction

What happens if we dip a wire loop into a soapy water solution and then carefully lift it out? We would see a shimmering soap film stretched across the wire frame, forming a surface that seems to balance itself perfectly every time we repeat this process. This surface is not random as expected, but it naturally arranges itself to have the smallest possible area considering the boundary created by the wire. This observation inspires the question known as Plateau's problem, the subject of this paper: Given a fixed boundary curve in three-dimensional space, does there exist a surface spanning the curve that has the minimal possible area?

Named after the Belgian physicist Joseph Plateau, who studied soap films in the 19th century, this problem holds a significant role in connecting physical intuition with deep mathematical theory. Soap films naturally minimize surface tension, which mathematically corresponds to minimizing area, and form minimal surfaces, surfaces characterized by zero mean curvature at every point. As a result, minimal surfaces represent critical points of the area function where the area is as small as possible.

Plateau's problem not only investigates the existence of such surfaces, but also questions their properties and mathematical descriptions. The problem also motivated some of the earliest and most influential studies in the calculus of variations and geometric analysis. For instance, in the 1930s, Jesse Douglas and Tibor Radó proved that for any simple closed curve, there is a minimal surface spanning it.

This paper will analyze the geometry behind Plateau's problem, introduce the mathematical concepts, and highlight some classic examples inspired by soap films with the help of several visual representations.

Contents

1	Historical Context and Motivation	2
2	Foundational Definitions	2
3	Plateau's Problem Formulation	3
4	Existence Theorems	4

5	Uniqueness and Regularity	5
6	Derivation of the Minimal Surface Equation	5
7	Examples of Minimal Surfaces	6
7.1	Plane	6
7.2	Catenoid	7
7.3	Helicoid	8
8	Soap Films and the Plateau Problem	9
9	Geometric and Analytical Properties	9
9.1	Plateau’s Problem for Soap Films with Multiple Boundaries	10
10	Modern Extensions and Perspectives	11

1 Historical Context and Motivation

Emergence of the study of minimal surfaces starts from experimental observations and mathematical inquiry. In the 1800s, mathematicians such as Joseph Louis Lagrange and Leonhard Euler asked the question of whether a minimal surface exists for a given boundary, laying the groundwork Plateau’s problem.

In the mid-19th century, the problem gained empirical significance as Plateau conducted experiments by immersing wire frames into soapy water and observing the soap films that formed. These films possessed properties of minimal surfaces, naturally adopting shapes that minimized surface area under the constraints of the wire boundary. Plateau’s observations led to the formulation of Plateau’s laws, which describe the geometric properties of soap films.

Furthermore, Plateau’s experimental findings inspired mathematical investigations into the existence and properties of minimal surfaces. In 1930, mathematicians Jesse Douglas and Tibor Radó independently provided rigorous proofs establishing the existence of minimal surfaces for given boundaries. Douglas’s approach utilized variational methods, while Radó used techniques ranging from potential theory to conformal mapping. Their work marked a significant advancement in the field, earning Douglas the Fields Medal in 1936 [2, 8, 4]. The study of minimal surfaces, initiated by Plateau’s experiments and advanced through mathematical analysis, continues to be a vibrant area of research, bridging the gap between physical phenomena and abstract mathematical theory.

2 Foundational Definitions

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be open and let $X : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth immersion, meaning that the differential dX_p has rank 2 for all $p \in U$. The image $S = X(U)$ is then a smooth surface in \mathbb{R}^3 . The tangent space at $X(u, v)$ is spanned by $X_u = \partial X / \partial u$ and $X_v = \partial X / \partial v$. The

induced metric on U is given by

$$g_{ij} = \langle X_i, X_j \rangle, \quad i, j \in \{u, v\},$$

and the corresponding area element is

$$dA = \sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} du dv = \|X_u \times X_v\| du dv.$$

The unit normal vector is defined by

$$N = \frac{X_u \times X_v}{\|X_u \times X_v\|}.$$

The second fundamental form is given by

$$h_{ij} = \langle X_{ij}, N \rangle.$$

The principal curvatures are the eigenvalues of the Weingarten map $S : T_p S \rightarrow T_p S$, $S(v) = -dN(v)$, and the mean curvature and Gaussian curvature are defined by

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 + k_2), \quad K = k_1 k_2.$$

Most importantly, a surface S is called minimal if its mean curvature vanishes identically, $H \equiv 0$. Equivalently, a parametrization X is minimal if and only if it is harmonic with respect to the induced metric,

$$\Delta_g X = 0.$$

Minimal surfaces are critical points of the area functional with respect to compactly supported variations.

3 Plateau's Problem Formulation

Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a Jordan curve. The Plateau problem asks for the existence of a surface S with boundary $\partial S = \gamma$ that minimizes the area function

$$A[S] = \inf\{\text{Area}(T) : \partial T = \gamma\}.$$

Here, the expression means that among all admissible surfaces T spanning γ , we seek one with the least possible surface area. The notation $\text{Area}(T)$ denotes the geometric surface area of T , while $\partial T = \gamma$ indicates that the boundary of T coincides with the prescribed curve γ . The symbol \inf (infimum) expresses the idea that the minimal surface may not be unique, but its area is the smallest possible value within the admissible class. Physically, this corresponds to the phenomenon that a soap film spanning a wire loop γ naturally arranges itself to minimize surface tension, and hence surface area.

The admissible class of surfaces depends on the precise formulation, ranging from smooth immersions to rectifiable currents. In classical theory, one seeks conformal harmonic maps $X : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $X(\partial\mathbb{D}) = \gamma$. In the modern approach, the problem is studied in the context of geometric measure theory, replacing surfaces by integral currents or varifolds.

4 Existence Theorems

The existence of solutions is guaranteed by several classical theorems for Plateau's problem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a Jordan curve that bounds a disk-type surface. Then there exists a conformal harmonic map*

$$X : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad X(\partial\mathbb{D}) = \gamma.$$

Radó's result shows that any rectifiable Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^3 indeed bounds a parametrized minimal surface of disk-type. The proof relies on considering harmonic extensions of boundary data and employing the Dirichlet principle. The Dirichlet principle asserts that, among all functions taking prescribed boundary values on a domain, the one minimizing the Dirichlet energy

$$E(u) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |\nabla u|^2 dx dy$$

is harmonic. In this context, harmonic extensions provide natural candidates for parametrizations of minimal surfaces [8]. However, Radó's approach did not guarantee the regularity of the parametrization at the boundary, and a significant refinement was made shortly thereafter:

Theorem 4.2. *For every Jordan curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, there exists a conformal harmonic map*

$$X : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

such that $X(\partial\mathbb{D}) = \gamma$ and X minimizes the area among all such parametrizations. In particular, X is a solution to Plateau's problem.

Douglas introduced a variational method, minimizing a functional now known as the Douglas integral, to obtain the existence of minimal surfaces with prescribed boundary [2]. The Douglas integral is defined for a continuous map $f : S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$D(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|f(e^{i\theta}) - f(e^{i\varphi})|^2}{4 \sin^2(\frac{\theta-\varphi}{2})} d\theta d\varphi,$$

and it measures, in a certain sense, how well the boundary data f can be extended harmonically to the disk. Minimizing this functional among parametrizations of a given Jordan curve γ yields a harmonic extension with minimal area. In this way, Douglas provided a constructive solution to Plateau's problem.

Together, the theorems of Radó and Douglas form the cornerstone of the modern mathematical treatment of Plateau's problem, establishing that every Jordan curve in \mathbb{R}^3 bounds a minimal surface. These results were later generalized to higher dimensions and broader classes of boundary data.

5 Uniqueness and Regularity

Minimal surfaces spanning a given boundary curve need not be unique. For example, the circle in \mathbb{R}^3 bounds both the flat disk and a catenoid, depending on the admissible class. Nevertheless, uniqueness can be obtained in certain special cases: for instance, if γ is a convex planar curve, then the only minimal surface spanning γ is the flat disk [10].

Theorem 5.1 (Regularity). *If γ is a real analytic Jordan curve, then any minimal surface spanning γ is real analytic up to the boundary. In general, the interior of a solution is analytic, and boundary regularity depends on the smoothness of γ .*

Interior regularity follows from the fact that minimal surfaces satisfy an elliptic system, implying real analyticity inside the domain. For boundary behavior, finer results are known: if $\gamma \in C^{k,\alpha}$, then the solution is $C^{k,\alpha}$ up to the boundary [5]. If Γ is merely rectifiable, solutions may fail to be smooth at the boundary.

A further subtlety is the occurrence of branch points, where the parametrization is not an immersion. While branch points cannot occur on the boundary if Γ is analytic, they may appear in the interior of a minimal disk. The questions of uniqueness and boundary regularity thus remain delicate in the general case, and are central themes in the modern study of Plateau's problem.

6 Derivation of the Minimal Surface Equation

Consider a surface parametrized as a graph $z = u(x, y)$ over a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. The functional area is

$$A[u] = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + u_x^2 + u_y^2} \, dx \, dy,$$

where $u_x = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $u_y = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$. To find a surface that minimizes area, we consider a variation.

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x, y) = u(x, y) + \varepsilon \eta(x, y),$$

where $\eta(x, y)$ is a smooth function that disappears on the boundary $\partial\Omega$. The first variation of the functional area is

$$\delta A[u](\eta) = \left. \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} A[u_{\varepsilon}] \right|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u_x \eta_x + u_y \eta_y}{\sqrt{1 + u_x^2 + u_y^2}} \, dx \, dy.$$

Integrating by parts and using $\eta|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ gives

$$\delta A[u](\eta) = - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) \eta \, dx \, dy.$$

For the surface to be minimal, the first variation must vanish for all η , which implies

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

This is a nonlinear second-order partial differential equation and its solutions correspond to surfaces whose mean curvature vanishes everywhere, minimal surfaces [7].

7 Examples of Minimal Surfaces

7.1 Plane

Method 1: Parametrize the plane as $X(u, v) = (u, v, 0)$.

$$X_u = (1, 0, 0), \quad X_v = (0, 1, 0), \quad N = \frac{X_u \times X_v}{\|X_u \times X_v\|} = (0, 0, 1).$$

First fundamental form: $E = \langle X_u, X_u \rangle = 1$, $F = \langle X_u, X_v \rangle = 0$, $G = \langle X_v, X_v \rangle = 1$. Second derivatives: $X_{uu} = X_{uv} = X_{vv} = (0, 0, 0)$. Hence second fundamental form

$$e = \langle X_{uu}, N \rangle = 0, \quad f = \langle X_{uv}, N \rangle = 0, \quad g = \langle X_{vv}, N \rangle = 0.$$

Mean curvature

$$H = \frac{eG - 2fF + gE}{2(EG - F^2)} = \frac{0 \cdot 1 - 2 \cdot 0 \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot 1}{2(1 \cdot 1 - 0)} = 0.$$

Since both principal curvatures vanish, the plane has $H = 0$ and is a minimal surface.

Method 2: By the minimal surface equation for graphs. Write the plane as a graph $z = u(x, y)$ with $u \equiv 0$. The minimal surface equation is

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Step 1: Compute the gradient

$$\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = (0, 0),$$

since $u \equiv 0$.

Step 2: Compute the norm of the gradient

$$|\nabla u|^2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

so that

$$\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2} = \sqrt{1 + 0} = 1.$$

Step 3: Compute the vector inside the divergence

$$\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} = \frac{(0, 0)}{1} = (0, 0).$$

Step 4: Compute the divergence

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(0) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Hence, the minimal surface equation is satisfied and the mean curvature is

$$H = 0,$$

confirming that the plane is a minimal surface.

Method 3: By the Laplace–Beltrami characterization. For an immersion $X : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, the mean curvature vector \vec{H} can be expressed in terms of the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_g associated with the induced metric g on the surface:

$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g X.$$

The Laplace–Beltrami operator generalizes the usual Laplacian to curved surfaces. If $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function on the surface, then

$$\Delta_g f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \left(\sqrt{\det g} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u^j} \right),$$

where (u^1, u^2) are local coordinates, $g = (g_{ij})$ is the first fundamental form, and g^{ij} its inverse. In particular, Δ_g reduces to the usual Laplacian when the metric is flat. For the plane parametrized by $X(u, v) = (u, v, 0)$, the induced metric is the standard Euclidean metric, so Δ_g acts componentwise as the usual Laplacian:

$$\Delta_g X = (\Delta u, \Delta v, \Delta 0) = (0, 0, 0),$$

since the components of X are affine functions. Therefore,

$$\vec{H} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g X = 0 \quad \implies \quad H = 0,$$

confirming that the plane is a minimal surface.

7.2 Catenoid

The catenoid is parametrized by

$$X(u, v) = (\cosh v \cos u, \cosh v \sin u, v), \quad u \in [0, 2\pi), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This surface is generated by rotating the catenary curve $z = v$, $r = \cosh v$ about the z -axis, where $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$. To verify that it is a minimal surface, we compute the first and second fundamental forms. The partial derivatives are

$$X_u = (-\cosh v \sin u, \cosh v \cos u, 0), \quad X_v = (\sinh v \cos u, \sinh v \sin u, 1).$$

The first fundamental form coefficients are

$$E = \langle X_u, X_u \rangle = \cosh^2 v, \quad F = \langle X_u, X_v \rangle = 0, \quad G = \langle X_v, X_v \rangle = \sinh^2 v + 1 = \cosh^2 v.$$

The unit normal vector is

$$N = \frac{X_u \times X_v}{\|X_u \times X_v\|}.$$

Computing the second derivatives X_{uu}, X_{uv}, X_{vv} and taking their inner products with N gives the second fundamental form coefficients e, f, g . Using the mean curvature formula

$$H = \frac{eG - 2fF + gE}{2(EG - F^2)},$$

one finds that $H \equiv 0$, confirming that the catenoid is a minimal surface.

It is also the only nontrivial minimal surface of revolution: any minimal surface generated by rotating a curve about an axis must satisfy the differential equation for minimal surfaces of revolution, and the catenary is the unique solution. This makes the catenoid the simplest nonplanar minimal surface.

7.3 Helicoid

The helicoid is parametrized by The helicoid is parametrized by

$$X(u, v) = (u \cos v, u \sin v, v), \quad u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

To apply the minimal surface equation, we can locally represent the helicoid as a graph $z = u(x, y)$. Solving for v gives $v = z$, and then

$$x = u \cos z, \quad y = u \sin z \quad \Rightarrow \quad u = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

Thus, locally the helicoid can be written as

$$z(x, y) = \arctan \frac{y}{x}.$$

The minimal surface equation for a graph $z = u(x, y)$ is

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Computing the gradient for $u(x, y) = \arctan(y/x)$ gives

$$\nabla u = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = \left(-\frac{y}{x^2 + y^2}, \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \right),$$

and then

$$|\nabla u|^2 = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{(x^2 + y^2)^2} = \frac{1}{x^2 + y^2}.$$

A computation shows that

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0,$$

confirming that the helicoid satisfies the minimal surface equation. Hence the helicoid is a minimal surface.

8 Soap Films and the Plateau Problem

Soap films provide a physical realization of minimal surfaces. When a wireframe is dipped into a soap solution, the resulting film naturally adjusts to minimize its surface area due to surface tension. Observing soap films provides not only a physical realization of minimal surfaces but also a valuable experimental tool for mathematical research. Recent studies have shown that the dynamic behavior of soap films, including the formation and evolution of singularities, can be used to test and illustrate complex mathematical concepts such as topology and surface minimization [12]. By analyzing phenomena like the location of singularities and the behavior of systolic curves, researchers can gain insights that are relevant beyond pure mathematics, with applications in fields ranging from fluid dynamics to astrophysics. This demonstrates that simple experiments with soap films can have profound implications for both theoretical and applied sciences.

Mathematically, a soap film can be modeled as a surface S with boundary Γ that satisfies

$$H = 0,$$

where H is the mean curvature of the surface. For simple wireframes, the film is often smooth and can be locally represented as a graph $z = u(x, y)$, satisfying the minimal surface equation

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0.$$

Soap films illustrate several important properties of minimal surfaces:

1. Local area minimization: Any small portion of the soap film minimizes area locally, although globally the surface may not be unique.
2. Boundary dependence: The shape of the film is entirely determined by the boundary wireframe.
3. Singularities and branching: For complex wireframes, the film may develop singular points or branch into multiple surfaces to minimize area.

Experimentally, soap films have been used to visualize solutions to Plateau's problem and to inspire mathematical examples of minimal surfaces, such as the catenoid, helicoid, and more complex configurations.

The study of soap films not only provides intuition but also motivates rigorous mathematical investigations into the existence, uniqueness, and regularity of minimal surfaces.

9 Geometric and Analytical Properties

Minimal surfaces exhibit rich geometric and analytic structures. Locally, they satisfy the *maximum principle*: if two minimal surfaces S_1 and S_2 intersect tangentially at an interior point p , then they coincide in a neighborhood of p . This follows from the unique continuation property of solutions to the minimal surface equation, which is a nonlinear elliptic partial differential equation.



Figure 1: Examples of soap films formed on wireframes. [6][9]

Globally, minimal surfaces are constrained by theorems such as Osserman's: a complete minimal surface in \mathbb{R}^3 with finite total curvature

$$\int_S |K| dA < \infty$$

is conformally equivalent to a compact Riemann surface punctured at finitely many points, where K is the Gaussian curvature of the surface.

Symmetries play a central role in the classification of minimal surfaces. For instance, the catenoid and helicoid are, respectively, the only complete embedded minimal surfaces of revolution and ruled type. More generally, the structure of properly embedded minimal surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 has been extensively studied by Meeks, Pérez, and Ros, yielding strong constraints on topology, ends, and curvature.

9.1 Plateau's Problem for Soap Films with Multiple Boundaries

Classical Plateau's problem considers a single boundary curve, but real-world soap films often span multiple boundaries and meet along edges and vertices, forming complex networks. Such configurations are governed by Plateau's laws, which describe the angles and junctions in equilibrium minimal surfaces:

1. Soap films meet in threes along edges at angles of 120° .
2. Edges meet in fours at vertices at the tetrahedral angle $\arccos(-1/3) \approx 109.47^\circ$.

Mathematically, these phenomena motivate the study of minimal networks and varifolds with boundary. Let $\{S_i\}$ denote a collection of surfaces spanning a set of boundary curves $\{\Gamma_j\}$. The total area functional becomes

$$A[\{S_i\}] = \sum_i \int_{S_i} dA,$$

and a soap-film configuration is a minimizer of this functional, subject to the junction constraints given by Plateau's laws. Existence results in this context are established using geometric measure theory. For instance, a rectifiable varifold V representing the soap film satisfies $\delta V(X) = 0$ for all smooth vector fields X vanishing on the boundaries, where δV is

the first variation of area. Regularity theory shows that such minimizers are smooth except along a set of singular curves and points where films meet, corresponding physically to edges and vertices.

These multi-boundary configurations not only provide insight into the geometry and topology of minimal surfaces but also demonstrate the deep connection between physical experiments with soap films and rigorous mathematical theory. Visualizations of such films can guide intuition about singularities, junctions, and area-minimizing networks, making them a rich source of examples for both analysis and geometry.

10 Modern Extensions and Perspectives

Plateau’s problem has been extended to more general contexts until today. In higher codimension and Riemannian manifolds, minimal surfaces are studied via the first variation of area:

$$\delta A(S)(X) = \int_S \langle \vec{H}, X \rangle dA = 0 \quad \forall X,$$

where \vec{H} is the mean curvature vector. Federer and Fleming developed the theory of “currents,” providing existence results in general geometric measure-theoretic settings. Almgren and Simon extended regularity theory for these generalized minimal surfaces and varifolds. Current research connects minimal surface theory to calibrated geometry, mean curvature flow, and mathematical physics (e.g., string theory), highlighting the deep interplay between geometry, analysis, and applied mathematics[3, 11, 1].

As an example of the most exciting modern applications, minimal surfaces appear in the study of black hole horizons in general relativity, where they are used to define apparent horizons and to analyze the geometry of spacetime. This demonstrates how Plateau’s classical problem continues to influence not only pure mathematics but also our understanding of fundamental physical theories.

Conclusion

The Plateau problem, inspired by simple physical experiments, has developed into a central theme of geometric analysis. From the pioneering proofs of Douglas and Radó to modern approaches via currents and varifolds, the problem illustrates the deep interaction between geometry, analysis, and topology. Minimal surfaces remain an active field of study, with connections to global geometry, variational methods, and mathematical physics.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Simon Rubinstein-Salzedo and Serkan Salik for their support and valuable discussions, and The Euler Circle for enabling this study.

References

- [1] Jr. Almgren, Frederick J. Existence and regularity almost everywhere of solutions to elliptic variational problems among surfaces of varying topological type and singularity structure. *Annals of Mathematics*, 87(2):321–391, 1968.
- [2] Jesse Douglas. Solution of the problem of plateau. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 33(1):263–321, 1931.
- [3] Herbert Federer. *Geometric Measure Theory*, volume 153 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] John Gray and Michael Micallef. The work of jesse douglas on minimal surfaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.5478>, 2007. Accessed: 2025-08-20.
- [5] Stefan Hildebrandt, Francesco Tomi, and Anil Kaul. Regularity of minimal surfaces with boundary. *Mathematische Annalen*, 213(3):203–221, 1975.
- [6] Erica Klarreich. Math duo maps the infinite terrain of minimal surfaces. *Quanta Magazine*, 2019. Accessed: 2025-08-20.
- [7] Joaquín Pérez. First variation of area formula. *Lecture notes: Minimal and Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces*, 2025. Unpublished lecture notes, Proposition 4.3 on first variation formula.
- [8] Tibor Radó. On plateau’s problem. *Annals of Mathematics*, 31(3):457–469, 1930.
- [9] redleg12771. Soap films and minimal surfaces, 2015. Accessed: 2025-08-20.
- [10] Max Shiffman. On the equality $\min \max = \max \min$, and the theory of games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 42(7):475–480, 1956.
- [11] Leon Simon. *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*. Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, 1983.
- [12] University of Cambridge. A new twist on soap films, 2019. Accessed: 2025-08-20.