

Quantum Computation in Cryptography

Rayhaan Patel

Shor's algorithm works by converting factoring $N = pq$ into a problem about finding the order, r of $g \pmod{N}$, and then solving this problem using the quantum Fourier transform.

Given the r and some random guess at one of the factors of N , g , we have that $g^r - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, and if r is even, this can be factored to $(g^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1)(g^{\frac{r}{2}} - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Now, we can take $f = \gcd(N, g^{\frac{r}{2}} \pm 1)$, and if $f \neq 1$ and $f \neq N$, we will have factored N . Writing this as an algorithm, we have that

Definition 1. Shor's algorithm is defined as follows: Let $N = pq$ be an integer, where p and q are distinct primes, and N is odd.

- (1) Choose a random number $1 < g < N$
- (2) Compute $\gcd(g, N)$
- (3) If $\gcd(g, N) \neq 1$, then $\gcd(g, N)$ is a factor of N , so we would have factored N .
- (4) Find the order, r of g
- (5) If r is odd, return to step 1.
- (6) Otherwise, compute $f = \gcd(N, x^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1)$, and if $f \neq 1$ and $f \neq N$, then we have factored N , otherwise, go back to step 1.

Most of these steps can be preformed efficiently with standard computers, however, step 4, finding the order, r of g , is slow with classical computers, but can be vastly sped up using a quantum computer.

Now, we will take a look more closely at step 4 in the algorithm; computing the order, r of g .

Notice that $g^{r+i} \equiv g^r g^i \equiv g^i \pmod{N}$, which means that we can create a list of values of $g^i \pmod{N}$, and we look for how long it takes $g^i \pmod{N}$ to repeat, and this will be r . However, this is extremely inefficient using classical computers, as this would involve $O(e^n)$ computations on average; we would have to exponential g^i r times (where n is the length of N).

However, quantum computers give us a much faster way to do this computation using the Quantum Fourier Transform, which takes advantage of the unique properties of qubits (the quantum computing analog of bits) to compute r quickly.

First, we will introduce some notation used in quantum mechanics. Vectors are denoted by $|x\rangle$, which is called a "ket."

Given a Hilbert, H space with n dimensions, then we denote the elements of its orthonormal basis

$$|0\rangle, |1\rangle, \dots, |n-1\rangle$$

and we write

$$|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle \dots$$

and various other greek letters for generic elements of H . For any $|\psi\rangle \in H$, we write $\langle\psi|$ for the dual element in H^\vee (a generalized transpose that also works for vector spaces over \mathbb{C} $|\psi\rangle$).

Example 1. If $\dim H = 2$, and

$$|\psi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

, then

$$\langle\psi| = [\bar{x} \ \bar{y}],$$

where \bar{x} is the complex conjugate of x . If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\langle\psi|$ would be the transpose of $|\psi\rangle$.

This gives us a convenient way to write dot products; if $|\phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ w \end{bmatrix}$, then the dot product of $\langle\psi|$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is $\langle\psi|\phi\rangle = [\bar{x} \ \bar{y}] \begin{bmatrix} z \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \bar{x}z + \bar{y}w$.

With classical computers, bits can be either 0's or 1's, so the space of possible states of the bit is a discrete set. However, in quantum computation, qubits (or quantum bits) are complex linear combinations of 0's and 1's.

Definition 2. Consider the normed complex vector space

$$H = \mathbb{C}^{\oplus 2}$$

(which is a vector space containing vectors with two complex coordinates, that has a way to measure size, akin to absolute value)

and let the orthonormal basis elements be $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$. A qubit is a nonzero element $|\psi\rangle \in H$ that can be written in the form

$$|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$$

such that at least one of a and b are nonzero (and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$).

We also have that $|0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, for when we need to use vector-matrix multiplication. This allows us to have any complex linear combination of states, which are called *superpositions* of the states $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$, so instead of just having $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ as possible states, we can have states like $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{2}|1\rangle$, and $i|0\rangle + \sqrt{2}|1\rangle$. Typically, we normalize these states, so that for any vector, $a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$

When we choose to measure these qubits with respect to $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$ (this is how measuring qubits is typically done) something strange happens; we get $|0\rangle$ with a probability of $|a|^2$, and we get $|1\rangle$ with a probability of $|b|^2$.

This observation "collapses" the superposition, so if we measure the qubit to be $|1\rangle$, then its state is now $|\psi\rangle = |1\rangle$, not $|\psi\rangle = a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle$.

If we try to measure $|\psi\rangle$ again, we will still get $|1\rangle$, since $b = 1$ and $a = 0$, now that the qubit's superposition collapsed.

We can measure qubits with respect to other orthonormal bases, $|x\rangle, |y\rangle$. To do this, we rewrite $|\psi\rangle$ in the form $\alpha|x\rangle + \beta|y\rangle$, and then we have a $|\alpha|^2$ chance of getting $|x\rangle$ and a $|\beta|^2$ chance of getting $|y\rangle$.

The basis $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle, |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$ is a particularly notable basis.

We can also observe a qubit with respect to $|-\rangle, |+\rangle$, and still have a superposition with respect to $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$, since the qubit will collapse to either $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$, or $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|1\rangle$.

In order to perform computations with qubits, we need to use quantum logic gates to modify the bits. Since qubits are linear combinations of $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$, logic gates consist of linear maps.

Definition 3. For a map $U : H \rightarrow H$, we call U *unitary* if U^\dagger is the inverse of U .

Quantum gates consist of unitary matrices, and because of their defining property, they are invertible.

Example 2. The Hadamard transformation is a unitary transformation that sends $|0\rangle$ to $|+\rangle$, and $|1\rangle$ to $|-\rangle$. If we set H , then the Hadamard transformation can be written

as
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

This is unitary; the Hadamard transformation's transpose is $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$, and all of the arguments of the matrix are real, so they are their own complex conjugate, so $U^\dagger = U$, but we need $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$. To show this, we take $U^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, which is the identity, as desired.

Now, we will look at tensor products, which can describe the states of multiple qubits at once. Given two vector spaces V, W , over the field k , we can combine these using a tensor product, resulting in $V \times W$ being the new space. The tensor product acts as a "wall" separating the elements of V and W , but allowing us to consider combinations of them in a single state, which is extremely useful for when we need to consider multiple qubits, which are each in superpositions.

Definition 4. More formally, let V and W be vector spaces over the same field k . The *tensor product* $V \times W$ is the abelian group generated by elements of the form $v \times w$, with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1 + v_2) \times w &= v_1 \times w + v_2 \times w \\ v \times (w_1 + w_2) &= v \times w_1 + v \times w_2 \\ (c \cdot v) \times w &= v \times (c \cdot w), \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in k$ is a scalar, and $v \in V, w \in W$.

Remember that elements of the form $v \times w$ generate $V \times W$; sums of vectors of the form $v \times w$ may not be expressible as a direct tensor product, but can still be elements of the space.

Now, to express the state of multiple qubits at once, say the qubits $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |0\rangle, |0\rangle, |1\rangle$, we take $|0\rangle \times |1\rangle \times |0\rangle \times |0\rangle \times |1\rangle$, which represents the state of all qubits at once.

We will let $|x\rangle$ refer to $|x_n\rangle \times |x_{n-1}\rangle \times \dots \times |x_1\rangle$, where $x = x_n x_{n-1} \dots x_1$ in binary.

For example, if $j = 5$, then $|j\rangle = |1\rangle \times |0\rangle \times |1\rangle$.

To set up the Fourier Transform, we let Q be a number, typically a power of 2. We will use $Q = 2^e > n$, where Q is the smallest power of 2 greater than n , since powers

of two work nicely with qubits in the same way that they work well with normal bits. Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/Q\mathbb{Z}$ be a periodic function with periodicity k , so that $f(x) = f(x + k)$ for all x , and k is the smallest value with this property. We must also assume that $f(i) \neq f(j)$, where $i, j < k, i \neq j$. For our case, $f(x) = g^x \pmod{n}$, and since $Q > n$, we can still express all of the values of $g^x \pmod{n}$ in $\mathbb{Z}/Q\mathbb{Z}$. We do not have to worry about $f(i) = f(j)$, where $i, j < k, i \neq j$, because then $g^i = g^j \pmod{n}$, so $g^{i-j} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, which is a contradiction, since $i - j < k$.

Let us take some integer j where $0 \leq i < Q$, and take the binary representation of j , using e digits. Then we create a quantum state out of j , using e qubits.

Example 3. If $Q = 32$ and $j = 5$, then j in binary is 00101, so we create a quantum state using the five qubits starting in $|0\rangle \times |0\rangle \times |1\rangle \times |0\rangle \times |1\rangle$, which we write as $|j\rangle$.

Let $\omega_N = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}}$

The Quantum Fourier transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}|j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \omega_N^{jk} |k\rangle.$$

If we start with a collection of qubits in a superposition,

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^{Q-1} a_\ell |\ell\rangle,$$

then

$$\mathcal{F}|x\rangle = \sum_{\ell=0}^{Q-1} \frac{a_\ell}{\sqrt{Q}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \omega_Q^{jk} |k\rangle \right)$$

Now, we can complete Shor's algorithm. We start by initializing the qubit

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} |k\rangle,$$

which takes up e qubits, and we use e more qubits to represent $f(k)$, giving us $2e$ qubits. Since we have the first e qubits in a superposition of $|k\rangle$ and the last e in a superposition of $|f(k)\rangle$ We write this as

$$|\psi, f(\psi)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} |k, f(k)\rangle.$$

Now we take the quantum Fourier transform of the first e qubits, giving us

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{F}\psi, f(\psi)\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{k=0}^{Q-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{Q-1} \omega_Q^{k\ell} |\ell, f(k)\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{m=0}^{Q-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{Q-1} |\ell, m\rangle \sum_{k:f(k)=m} \omega_Q^{k\ell} \end{aligned}$$

Now we measure all $2e$ qubits, giving us $2e$ qubits of the form $|\ell, m\rangle$.

The probability of getting any given pair is

$$\left| \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{k:f(k)=m} \omega_Q^{k\ell} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{Q^2} \left| \sum_{b=0}^{(Q-k_0-1)/r} \omega_Q^{\ell r b} \right|^2$$

where k_0 is the smallest value such that $f(k_0) = m$, and r is the period, which is what we want.

Since for any integer, T , we have that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{N}} = 0,$$

since this gives us a bunch of roots of unity, which cancel out (for any given n th root of unity, α , $-\alpha$ is also an n th root of unity, so $e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{N}}$ will eventually reach both points, making them cancel). The only way we can prevent terms from canceling is by making sure $\omega_Q^{\ell r b}$ is close to 1, or that $\frac{\ell r}{Q}$ is close to an integer, c .

So, after performing a measurement, $\frac{\ell r}{Q}$ is most likely close to an integer, and we know ℓ and Q , so we can rearrange this to get that $\frac{\ell}{Q}$ is close to $\frac{c}{r}$. We can then look at the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{\ell}{Q}$, and create a list of approximations of $\frac{c}{r}$, and check if the denominators of any of these fractions are r , by taking $g^r \pmod{n}$. If this does not work for all of the denominators, $d < \pmod{n}$, then we can try another g , and start the algorithm again, but this happens rarely.

For quantum computers, it is easy to compute the fourier transform of the superposition, as shown in [Sho99], and since the rest of the algorithm is not computationally difficult, Shor's algorithm can factor in polynomial time. Thus, quantum computers give us an efficient way to factor $N = pq$, and crack RSA encryption.

REFERENCES

- [Sho99] Peter W Shor. Polynomial-time algorithms for prime factorization and discrete logarithms on a quantum computer. *SIAM review*, 41(2):303–332, 1999.