The Hardy–Littlewood Circle Method

Sitar Eswar

June 8, 2025

1 Introduction

The Hardy–Littlewood circle method is a fundamental technique in analytic number theory, introduced by G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood in the early 20th century (2,3). It is designed to obtain asymptotic formulas for the number of representations of integers in additive problems, using Fourier-analytic and complex-analytic ideas. Some applications include Waring's problem (sums of kth powers) and partial results on Goldbach's conjecture (sums of primes) (2,3). In this paper we present an expository treatment of the circle method. We begin by introducing generating functions and the relevant tools from complex analysis. After establishing the contour-integral framework, we describe the major/minor arc decomposition and derive asymptotic formulas. We conclude with applications to Waring's problem and to partial results on the Goldbach conjectures.

2 Generating Functions and Contour Integrals

Let a_n be a sequence of nonnegative integers (for example, a_n might count representations of n by a given form). Define the *generating function*

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n.$$

Under suitable hypotheses, $F(z)$ is analytic in a region of the complex plane. The coefficients a_n can be extracted by a contour integral. In particular, by Cauchy's Integral Formula for coefficients (see, e.g., (1)) we have:

Theorem 2.1 (Cauchy's Integral Formula)**.** *If $F(z)$ is analytic on and inside a simple closed contour C and has a power series expansion $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, then for each $n \geq 0$,*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{F(z)}{z^{n+1}} \, dz.$$

In particular, if $F(z)$ is analytic on the unit circle $|z| = 1$, one takes C to be that circle. Writing $z = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, one obtains

$$a_n \; = \; \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 F(e(\alpha))\, e(-n\alpha)\, d\alpha,$$

where $e(\alpha) = e^{2\pi i\alpha}$. Thus a_n is the n-th Fourier coefficient of the function $F(e(\alpha))$ on the unit circle.

The Residue Theorem allows one to evaluate contour integrals by summing residues of poles:

Theorem 2.2 (Residue Theorem)**.** *If $G(z)$ is meromorphic on and inside a positively oriented simple closed contour C, with isolated singularities $z_1, \ldots, z_k$ inside C, then*

$$\oint_C G(z)\, dz = 2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^{k} (G; z_j).$$

In practice, one often deforms contours or expands functions in Laurent series around singular points to approximate integrals. These are standard in complex analysis (see (1)).

3 The Circle Method: Major and Minor Arcs

With the generating-function integral in hand, Hardy and Littlewood introduced a key decomposition of the unit circle into *major arcs* and *minor arcs*. The idea is that $F(e(\alpha))$ (or its power) is often largest when α is close to a rational a/q with small denominator q. We show this for a typical additive problem.

For instance, let

$$F(\alpha) \; = \; \sum_{m=0}^{N} e(\alpha m^k),$$

where $N \approx n^{1/k}$. Then

$$F(\alpha)^s = \sum_{n'} r_s(n') e(\alpha n'), \qquad r_s(n) = \int_0^1 F(\alpha)^s e(-n\alpha)\, d\alpha,$$

counts representations of n as a sum of s kth powers. We dissect $[0, 1)$ as follows. Fix a parameter $Q \ll n^{\epsilon}$. For each reduced rational a/q with $1 \le q \le Q$, define the major arc

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) = \Big\{ \alpha : \big|\alpha - \frac{a}{q}\big| < \frac{Q}{n} \Big\}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the union of these arcs and let $\mathfrak{m} = [0, 1) \setminus \mathfrak{M}$ be the minor arcs.

On a major arc $\alpha = a/q + \beta$ with $|\beta| < Q/n$, one finds

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} e\Big(\frac{am^k}{q}\Big)\, e(\beta m^k).$$

The factor $\sum_{m=0}^{N} e(am^k/q)$ is a complete exponential (Gauss) sum modulo q, usually denoted

$$S(q,a) \;=\; \sum_{r=1}^{q} e\Big(\frac{ar^k}{q}\Big).$$

The remaining factor $e(\beta m^k)$ is slowly varying for $|\beta| \ll 1/N$ and can be approximated by an integral. In effect, one shows

$$F(\alpha) \;\approx\; \frac{1}{q} S(q,a) \int_0^N e(\beta t^k)\, dt.$$

Hence the contribution to $r_s(n)$ from $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q,a)$ is asymptotically

$$\int_{\mathfrak{M}(q,a)} F(\alpha)^s e(-n\alpha)\, d\alpha \;\approx\; \frac{S(q,a)^s}{q^s} \int_{|\beta|<Q/n} \Big(\int_0^N e(\beta t^k)\, dt\Big)^s e(-n\beta)\, d\beta.$$

Summing over a and q yields a factorization of the main term into a *singular series* and a singular integral. One obtains

$$r_s(n) \sim \mathfrak{S}(n)\, \mathfrak{I}(n),$$

where the singular series is

$$\mathfrak{S}(n) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,q)=1}}^{q} \frac{S(q,a)^s}{q^s}\, e\Big(-\frac{an}{q}\Big),$$

and the singular integral $\mathfrak{I}(n)$ comes from the continuous integral. Under suitable congruence hypotheses, one shows $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ converges to a positive limit as s grows (7). Thus the major arcs contribute the main asymptotic term for $r_s(n)$.

On the minor arcs $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$ (away from rationals a/q), classical exponential-sum bounds imply that $F(\alpha)$ is much smaller. Weyl's inequality (see (5)) gives $|F(\alpha)| \ll N^{1-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$ if α is not close to any a/q with $q \le Q$. Consequently one shows

$$\int_{\mathfrak{m}} |F(\alpha)|^s\, d\alpha = o(n^{s/k-1}),$$

when s is large enough. Hence the minor-arc contribution is negligible relative to the major-arc main term.

4 Asymptotic Analysis of Exponential Sums

A crucial ingredient is precise estimation of sums and integrals. For $\alpha = a/q+\beta$ near a rational, one shows

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} e(\alpha m^k) \;\approx\; \frac{1}{q} S(q,a) \int_0^N e(\beta t^k)\, dt,$$

as above. When α is not near a small-denominator rational, one uses analytic estimates (Weyl bounds, van der Corput, etc.) [5] to obtain

$$\Big|\sum_{m=1}^{N} e(\alpha m^k)\Big| \ll N^{1-\delta}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. This yields the minor-arc bound. The transition from sums to integrals may be justified by Poisson summa'tion or stationary phase (contour integration). Together, these techniques allow the major-arc integral to be evaluated asymptotically and the minor-arc integral to be bounded as an error [5].

5 Applications to Waring's Problem

5.1 What is Waring's problem?

Waring's problem asks: for each $k \geq 2$, what is the least $g(k)$ such that every sufficiently large integer is the sum of $g(k)$ kth powers? Let $R_{s,k}(n)$ be the number of representations of n as $x_1^k + \cdots + x_s^k$. The circle method predicts an asymptotic

$$R_{s,k}(n) \;=\; \frac{\Gamma(1+1/k)^s}{\Gamma(s/k)}\,\mathfrak{S}_{s,k}(n)\,n^{s/k-1} + o(n^{s/k-1}),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}_{s,k}(n)$ is the singular series for kth powers [7]. If $s > g(k)$ then typically $\mathfrak{S}_{s,k}(n)$ is nonzero and one deduces $R_{s,k}(n) > 0$ for large n. Hardy and Littlewood showed, for example, that every large integer is a sum of at most 19 fourth powers [2][4], giving $g(4) \leq 19$, and that $g(3) = 9$ [4]. Modern refinements by Vaughan [6] and Wooley [7] have significantly improved these bounds (using stronger exponential-sum estimates and new mean-value theorems).

6 Partial Results on Goldbach's Conjecture

Goldbach's conjectures concern expressing numbers as sums of primes. The circle method can be adapted by using the generating series of primes (via the von Mangoldt function - will add bib text entry later for this-). Vinogradov showed that every sufficiently large odd integer is the sum of three primes. Analytically, we see that

$$R_3(n) = \int_0^1 \Big(\sum_{p\leq N} e(\alpha p)\Big)^3 e(-n\alpha)\,d\alpha,$$

where p runs over primes. On major arcs one uses distribution of primes in arithmetic progressions (from Dirichlet L-functions) to approximate $\sum_{p\leq N} e(ap/q) \sim (\mu(q)/\phi(q))N$. This yields the main singular-series term (matching Vinogradov's singular series). On the minor arcs one applies estimates for trigonometric sums over primes to show the contribution is small. Vinogradov's theorem then implies the weak Goldbach conjecture (every large odd n is a sum of three primes). Further work (by Ramaré and others) shows every large even n is a sum of at most six primes, and Helfgott (2013) proved the odd Goldbach conjecture in full.

7 Conclusion

In summary, the circle method translates additive problems into questions about the analytic behavior of generating functions on the unit circle. It relies on tools from complex analysis such as Cauchy's integral formula, contour integration, and Fourier analysis (1). Its success in problems like Waring's and Goldbach's highlights the power of combining analysis with arithmetic. With ongoing improvements, such as sharper mean-value theorems (7), the method remains central to additive number theory.

References

1. L. V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1979.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, "A new solution of Waring's problem", *Quart. J. Math.* **48** (1917), 272–293.
3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, "Some problems of 'Partitio Numerorum': I & II", *Acta Math.* **37** (1914), 353–383.
4. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 6th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 2008.
5. H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., Springer, 2000.
6. R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy–Littlewood Method*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
7. T. D. Wooley, "The asymptotic formula in Waring's problem", *Acta Math.* **198** (2007), 79–122.
8. I. M. Vinogradov, *The Method of Trigonometrical Sums in the Theory of Numbers*, Interscience, 1954.
9. H. Iwaniec and E. Kowalski, *Analytic Number Theory*, American Mathematical Society, 2004.