ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN COMPLEX ANALYSIS

KARTIK GUDAPATI

Abstract. This paper shows the connections between elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) and complex analysis, focusing on how fundamental things like harmonic and holomorphic functions intertwine. We first examine and define elliptic PDEs, highlighting Laplace's equation as the main example. Using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, we show that real and imaginary parts of any holomorphic function solve Laplace's equation, connecting complex differentiability with harmonicity. Then, we analyze boundary value problems, and explore the role of conformal mappings in transforming domains and maintaining the harmonicity.

1. Introduction

Partial differential equations (PDEs) are very important in both math and physics, used to model things from heat flow to electrostatics. **Elliptic PDEs** dictate the steady-state or equilibrium behavior and are distinguished by their smoothness and symmetry.

Definition 1.1. A second-order linear PDE in two variables

$$A(x,y)u_{xx} + 2B(x,y)u_{xy} + C(x,y)u_{yy} + \text{lower order terms} = 0$$

is called **elliptic** at a point if the discriminant $B^2 - AC < 0$.

The most fundamental example of an elliptic PDE is the **Laplace equation**

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0,$$

whose solutions are called **harmonic functions**. When modeling gravitational potentials, incompressible fluid flow, and electric fields, these functions are very important

In complex analysis on the other, harmonic functions appear naturally. Something to note is that the real and imaginary parts of any holomorphic function are harmonic. This connection is rooted in the **Cauchy-Riemann equations**, which characterize complex differentiability.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$ be holomorphic on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then both u and v are harmonic on Ω.*

This paper aims to highlight the role of elliptic PDEs in complex analysis, focusing on the Laplace equation and its harmonic solutions. We examine how holomorphic functions encode harmonicity, study boundary value problems like the Dirichlet problem, and explore how conformal mappings preserve elliptic structure.

Date: June 9, 2025.

2. Elliptic PDEs: Definitions and Examples

We now turn to the definition of elliptic partial differential equations and some illustrative examples that are important in understanding the rest of this paper. A key feature of elliptic equations is the absence of real characteristic directions, which means that their influence propagates to all directions rather than along specific paths, unlike hyperbolic PDEs.

2.1. Classification of Second-Order PDEs.

Consider a general linear second-order PDE in two variables

$$A(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 2B(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x \partial y} + C(x,y)\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \text{lower order terms} = 0.$$

The classification of these equations depend on the sign of the discriminant

$$D = B^2 - AC.$$

Definition 2.1. A second-order PDE is:

- **Elliptic** at a point if $D < 0$,
- **Parabolic** at a point if $D = 0$,
- **Hyperbolic** at a point if $D > 0$.

These are very similar to conic sections in algebraic geometry and help show the qualitative behavior of solutions. Elliptic equations, with $D < 0$, typically model smooth, steady-state behavior with no inherent direction of propagation.

2.2. Laplace and Poisson Equations.

The base of elliptic PDEs is Laplace's equation

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

Solutions to Laplace's equation are called **harmonic functions**. These functions have some very important properties. They are smooth (infinitely differentiable) wherever defined, satisfy a mean value property, and obey the maximum principle. See [4], [6] for full discussion.

A closely related equation is the Poisson equation

$$\Delta u = f(x,y),$$

where f is a given source term. While Laplace's equation models source-free phenomena, Poisson's equation accounts for internal sources or sinks (such as electric charge distributions).

Example. Let $u(x,y) = \log\sqrt{x^2+y^2}$. Then

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad \text{for } (x,y) \neq (0,0),$$

so u is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. This is the fundamental solution to Laplace's equation in two dimensions.

2.3. **Geometric Interpretation.** Geometrically, the Laplace equation implies that the function u is locally flat in a precise average sense, meaning its value at any point is equal to the average of its values on a small circle centered at that point. This is known as the **mean value property**, and it suggests that harmonic functions have no local maxima or minima unless they are constant.

In complex analysis, these properties will soon appear as the results of analyticity highlighting the harmony between the analytic and geometric viewpoints.

3. Cauchy-Riemann Equations and Harmonicity

The link between holomorphic functions and harmonic functions is one of the most beautiful connections between complex analysis and elliptic partial differential equations.

Let $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ be a complex-valued function defined on an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$, where $z = x + iy$ and $u, v : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$. The function f is said to be holomorphic if it is complex differentiable at every point of its domain.

To say that f is complex differentiable at a point means that the limit

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{h\to 0} \frac{f(z_0 + h) - f(z_0)}{h}$$

exists and is independent of the direction in which $h \in \mathbb{C}$ approaches zero.

Definition 3.1. A function $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is **holomorphic** at $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ if the partial derivatives u_x, u_y, v_x, v_y exist and are continuous near z_0, and the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold

$$u_x = v_y, \quad u_y = -v_x.$$

These equations ensure that the real and imaginary parts of f are tightly linked. They create the requirement that f is conformal, meaning it is angle-preserving and locally behaves like a complex linear transformation. A notable result of these equations is that both u and v automatically satisfy Laplace's equation.

Theorem 3.2. *If $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ is holomorphic on an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, then u and v are harmonic on Ω; that is,*

$$\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0, \quad \Delta v = v_{xx} + v_{yy} = 0.$$

Proof. A classic proof of this relationship appears in [2] and is explored further in [1].

Assume f is holomorphic, so the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold. Differentiating $u_x = v_y$ with respect to x, we get

$$u_{xx} = v_{yx}.$$

Differentiating $u_y = -v_x$ with respect to y, we get

$$u_{yy} = -v_{xy}.$$

Adding these gives

$$\Delta u = u_{xx} + u_{yy} = v_{yx} - v_{xy} = 0,$$

since mixed partial derivatives commute under continuity assumptions. Similarly for Δv. ■

Example. The function $f(z) = z^2 = (x + iy)^2 = x^2 - y^2 + 2ixy$ is holomorphic. Its real part $u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ and imaginary part $v(x, y) = 2xy$ are both harmonic

$$\Delta u = (2) + (-2) = 0, \quad \Delta v = (0) + (0) = 0.$$

This has many notable results. Holomorphic functions are automatically solutions to the Laplace equation. Thus, every analytic function has within it two harmonic functions which are its real and imaginary parts.

From a PDE perspective, this is powerful since constructing solutions to Laplace's equation can be achieved by finding appropriate holomorphic functions. This approach is very important for when we explore boundary value problems and conformal mappings in later sections.

Remark 3.3. The reverse implication is not true. Not every harmonic function arises as the real or imaginary part of a holomorphic function. For instance, $u(x, y) = x^2 - y^2$ is harmonic, but unless paired with the right imaginary part v, it may not define a holomorphic function. One must construct v such that the Cauchy-Riemann equations hold.

4. The Dirichlet Problem and Boundary Behavior

We now move on to boundary value problems, in which the behavior of a function is described on the boundary of a domain. In the problem, we aim to find a harmonic function inside that satisfies the boundary conditions. One of the most important of such problems is the Dirichlet problem.

Problem (Dirichlet Problem)**.** *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded, open domain with sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Given a continuous function $f : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$, find a function $u : \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that*

- $\Delta u = 0$ *in* Ω,
- $u = f$ *on* $\partial\Omega$.

In real life this problem corresponds to finding the equilibrium temperature in a plate Ω whose boundary temperature is ruled by f, or the electrostatic potential in a region with fixed boundary values.

Theorem 4.1 (Existence and Uniqueness)**.** *Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^1 boundary, and let $f \in C(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists a unique function $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ that solves the Dirichlet problem.*

There are many methods to solving or proving the solvability of the Dirichlet problem.

1. Perron's Method. In this we construct the solution as the supremum of all subharmonic functions that lie beneath the boundary data. It uses comparison principles and the maximum principle to make sure that the limit function is harmonic and matches the boundary.

2. Green's Function. If a Green's function can be constructed $G(x, y; \xi, \eta)$ for Ω, then the solution can be expressed through the integral

$$u(x, y) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial G}{\partial n} \, ds,$$

where $\partial G / \partial n$ denotes the normal derivative.

3. Variational Methods. By minimizing the Dirichlet energy functional

$$E[u] = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dxdy,$$

subject to the constraint $u = f$ on $\partial\Omega$, we can obtain a weak solution which is then shown to be smooth.

4.1. **Uniqueness through Maximum Principle.** Suppose u_1 and u_2 both solve the Dirichlet problem with the same boundary values. Then $w = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies

$$\Delta w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

By the maximum principle, $w \equiv 0$, and hence $u_1 = u_2$.

Example. Let Ω be the unit disk $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, and let $f(\theta) = \cos(2\theta)$ be the boundary data on ∂D. Then the solution to the Dirichlet problem is given by the Poisson integral formula

$$u(r, \theta) = r^2 \cos(2\theta),$$

which is harmonic in D and agrees with f on ∂D. See [7] for an analytic approach to solving the Dirichlet problem using potential theory.

In complex analysis, the problem also rises to determine whether a continuous boundary function f can be extended to a harmonic or even analytic function in the interior. The Poisson integral is often used to define this extension on the unit disk. Variational methods are treated extensively in [4] and [5].

This link between boundary values and interior harmonicity is even stronger when combined with conformal mappings.

5. Conformal Mappings and Invariance of Harmonicity

An extremely useful tool in complex analysis is the use of conformal maps. These functions not only simplify geometric configurations but also preserve the harmonic nature of functions, which is a very important property when solving elliptic PDEs in complex domains.

Definition 5.1. A function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be **conformal** at a point $z_0 \in \Omega$ if it is holomorphic at z_0 and $f'(z_0) \neq 0$. A map is conformal on Ω if it is conformal at every point in Ω.

Because conformal maps are locally biholomorphic, they preserve angles and infinitesimal shapes. More importantly for us, they also maintain the class of harmonic functions under composition. This invariance under conformal maps is detailed in [2], with applications in [3].

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\phi : \Omega \to \Omega'$ be a conformal (holomorphic and bijective) map, and let $u : \Omega' \to \mathbb{R}$ be harmonic. Then the composition $u \circ \phi$ is harmonic on Ω.*

Proof. Let $z \in \Omega$ and $\phi(z) = w \in \Omega'$. Since u is harmonic in w, we have

$$\Delta_w u(w) = 0.$$

We want to show $\Delta_z(u \circ \phi)(z) = 0$. Because ϕ is holomorphic, the Laplacian transforms under change of variables in a way that preserves harmonicity. A formal calculation using the chain rule (or the invariance of the Laplace-Beltrami operator under conformal metrics) gives us the results. ∎

5.1. **Applications to Solving the Dirichlet Problem.** One of the most important uses of conformal mappings is in transferring difficult boundary value problems to simpler geometries. For example, solving the Dirichlet problem on an ellipse or polygon can be converted into a problem on the unit disk, where the Poisson integral formula applies and it becomes much easier.

Example. Let $\phi(z) = \frac{z-i}{z+i}$, which maps the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{\text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ conformally onto the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$. If u is harmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, then $u \circ \phi$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{H}$. Thus, the solution to a Dirichlet problem on $\mathbb{H}$ can be constructed by solving on $\mathbb{D}$ and pulling back the result.

Remark 5.3. The Riemann Mapping Theorem guarantees that any simply connected, proper open subset of $\mathbb{C}$ (not equal to $\mathbb{C}$ itself) can be conformally mapped onto the unit disk. This theorem is not constructive, yet it provides a very strong theoretical method for solving harmonic problems in arbitrary simply connected domains.

Although Laplace's equation is expressed in Cartesian coordinates, its geometric nature means it is maintained under conformal mappings. This invariance helps explain why many classical potential theory problems in physics are solvable using methods that come from complex analysis.

In higher dimensions, this conformal invariance breaks down, which is one reason why the two-dimensional case is so special. In dimension $n \geq 3$, conformal transformations are far more restricted, and the elegant equivalence between harmonic and analytic functions disappears.

6. Maximum Principle and Regularity

Elliptic PDEs, and harmonic functions in particular, have many interesting qualitative properties. Among these, the **maximum principle** and the **regularity** of solutions are a couple of the most important and the ones we will focus on. These results emphasize the stability, smoothness, and rigidity of solutions to elliptic equations.

6.1. **The Maximum Principle.** The maximum principle tells us that the behavior of a harmonic function on the interior of a domain is entirely controlled by its boundary values.

Theorem 6.1 (Maximum Principle). *Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ be harmonic on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Then*

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} u(z) \leq \sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} u(z), \quad \inf_{z \in \Omega} u(z) \geq \inf_{z \in \partial\Omega} u(z).$$

Corollary 6.2. *If u holds its local maximum or minimum in the interior of Ω, then u is constant throughout Ω.*

Proof. Suppose u has a strict maximum at an interior point. Then $\nabla u = 0$, and the Hessian is negative definite, implying $\Delta u < 0$, which contradicts $\Delta u = 0$. So, no such strict interior extremum can exist unless u is constant. ∎

This principle leads us to many conclusions.

- It implies uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem.
- It provides bounds on solutions inside the domain.
- It tells us that harmonic functions cannot peak or dip in the interior but instead only on the boundary.

A stronger version of the maximum principle tells us that if the maximum or minimum is anywhere in the interior, then the function is constant. This rigidity reflects the tight constraints that Laplace's equation creates on its solutions. This is one of the core qualitative results for elliptic PDEs [6], [5].

6.2. **Regularity of Solutions.** Another important part of elliptic PDEs is that their solutions are very smooth, often more than the data that we start with.

Theorem 6.3 (Interior Regularity)**.** *Let u solve $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω, and assume $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$. Then $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, and if the coefficients of the PDE are real analytic, so is u.*

This strongly contrasts hyperbolic PDEs, where even smooth initial data can lead to solutions that create singularities. For elliptic equations, the opposite is true. Even rough boundary data can get smoothed out in the interior.

Remark 6.4. This would mean that solving Laplace's equation not only gives a solution, but gives a highly regular one. This regularity is very important in applications such as fluid dynamics, electrostatics, and elasticity, where smoothness is necessary for interpreting physical quantities like stress, potential, and flow.

While solutions are smooth in the interior, their behavior near the boundary depends on the regularity of the boundary itself. If $\partial\Omega$ is smooth, for example $C^{1,\alpha}$, then the solution u extends smoothly up to the boundary. This result is part of the classical theory of elliptic boundary regularity and is closely connected to the use of barrier functions and the Schauder estimates. For regularity up to the boundary and the role of smoothness of coefficients, see [4], [6].

References

[1] Sheldon Axler, Paul Bourdon, and Wade Ramey. *Harmonic function theory.*, volume 137 of *Grad. Texts Math.* New York, NY: Springer, 2nd ed. edition, 2001.

[2] John B. Conway. *Functions of one complex variable. II*, volume 159 of *Grad. Texts Math.* New York, NY: Springer-Verlag, 1995.

[3] Richard Courant and David Hilbert. *Methoden der mathematischen Physik.* Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 4. Aufl. edition, 1993.

[4] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2nd ed. edition, 2010.

[5] Gerald B. Folland. *Introduction to partial differential equations.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2nd ed. edition, 1995.

[6] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Class. Math. Berlin: Springer, reprint of the 1998 ed. edition, 2001.

[7] Thomas Ransford. *Potential theory in the complex plane*, volume 28 of *Lond. Math. Soc. Stud. Texts.* Cambridge: Univ. Press, 1995.