

# Types of Sums

Grace Howard

November 2024

## Preliminary Definitions

A game which has some sort of pieces arranged on a board is the configuration  $V_i$ . A *position* is denoted  $V_i^A$  or  $V_i^B$ . The  $V_i$  indicates the configuration, and the superscript indicates which of the players, A or B, will move next.

**Definition 0.1.** A *directed graph*, or digraph, is a graph in wherein the edges have a direction.

Each game  $G$  has a corresponding directed graph, denoted  $\Gamma(G)$ . The vertices of the graph are the positions of the game. The edges of the graph are the moves which can be under the rules of the game.

**Definition 0.2.** If there is an edge from  $V_a^A$  to  $V_b^B$ ,  $V_b^B$  is called a follower of  $V_a^A$ . A position without followers is called *terminal*.

The class  $T$  of terminal positions has three outcome classes:

- $T_A$ , a win for  $A$ ,
- $T_B$ , a win for  $B$ ,
- $T_O$ , a draw.

A vertex  $V_i^k$  which is such that plays starting from it have a finite maximum length, denoted  $D(V_i^k)$ , has a terminal distance of  $D(V_i^k)$ .

## Conjunctive sum

If players  $A$  and  $B$  play simultaneously in a number of component games,  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$ , with each player making a move in some or all of the component games, this is a compound or composite game. In a conjunctive compound, the player makes a move in *every* component game. In a disjunctive compound, the player selects one of the games and moves in that, leaving all the other games unchanged. In a selective compound, the player chooses a set, which is not  $\emptyset$ , of component games. A move is made in each of them. More formally,

- In the disjunctive sum, denoted  $G + H$ , players move in exactly one of the two components.
- In the conjunction sum, denoted  $G \wedge H$ , players move in both components.
- In the selective sum, denoted  $G \vee H$ , players move in either or both components.

**Definition 0.3.** The *disjunctive sum* is defined as:

$$G + H = \{G^L + H, G + H^L | G^R + H, G + H^R\}.$$

**Definition 0.4.** The *conjunctive sum* is defined as:

$$G \wedge H = \{G^L \wedge H^L | G^R \wedge H^R\}.$$

**Definition 0.5.** The *selective sum* is defined as:

$$G \vee H = \{G^L \vee H, G \vee H^L, G^L \vee H^L | G^R \vee H, G \vee H^R, G^R \vee H^R\}.$$

In general, when there are games with  $k \geq 3$  components, like with

$$G_1 \wedge G_2 \wedge \dots \wedge G_k,$$

a player needs to move in each of the  $k$  components. These sums can be considered within the context of two different ending conditions:

**Definition 0.6.** With the *short ending condition*, play is over when any of the components terminate.

**Definition 0.7.** With the *long ending condition*, play is over when all of the components terminate.

Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$  denote a set of  $n$  uncolored directed graphs. The conjunctive compound of these graphs,  $C^{cnj}$  is defined in the following way: Let  $V_a^i$  be a vertex in  $C_i$ . The ordered set  $V_p^{cnj} = \{V_a^1, V_b^2, \dots, V_e^n\}$  is a vertex of  $C^{cnj}$ . The  $V_a^1, V_b^2, \dots, V_e^n$  are the *component* vertices of  $V_p^{cnj}$ .

## The Remoteness Function

Informally, consider a game with  $T = T_P$ , where the last player wins. A position  $V_i^A$  would be considered a winning position for the next player  $A$ , if the player has a means of winning which will undoubtedly work no matter how the other player  $B$  chooses to move. As such, player  $A$  tries to win as quickly as possible. Conversely, the other player  $B$  tries to play as to delay defeat for the longest number of moves possible. This number of moves is called the remoteness  $r(V_i^A)$  of  $V_i^A$ .

**Definition 0.8.** The *remoteness function*  $r$  describes how many moves the game will last if a player who can force a win tries to win as soon as possible and the losing player tries to lose as slow as possible.

As player  $A$  must move last to win, the remoteness of a position must be odd in this scenario.

**Theorem 0.1.** The remoteness value of a position  $V_i^k$  is given by:

- $r(V_i^k) = 0$  if  $V_i^k$  is a terminal position,
- $r(V_i^k) = 1 + r(V_{ij}^l)$  where  $r(V_{ij}^l)$  is the least even number if  $V_i^k$  has at least one follower  $V_{ij}^l$  with  $r(V_{ij}^l)$  even,

or

- $r(V_i^k) = 1 + r(V_{ij}^l)$  where  $r(V_{ij}^l)$  is the greatest odd number.

Note that  $L$ -positions have even remoteness and  $W$ -positions have odd remoteness, and  $r(V_i^k) \geq 0$ . When  $V_i^k$  is not terminal,  $r(V_i^k) > 0$ .

**Theorem 0.2.**

$$r(V_p^{cnj}) = \min[r(V_a^1), r(V_b^2), \dots, r(V_e^n)] := r^*(V_p^{cnj}).$$

**Lemma 0.1.** There exists a follower  $V_{p\pi}^{cnj}$  of  $V_p^{cnj}$  in the compound game such that  $r^*(V_{p\pi}^{cnj}) = r(V_{c\gamma}^j)$

*Proof.* For each  $h$ ,

$$r(V_d^h) \geq r^*(V_p^{cnj}) = r(V_c^j) > r(V_{c\gamma}^j).$$

From the definition of the remoteness function, there exists a follower  $V_{d\delta}^h$  with

$$r(V_{d\delta}^h) \geq r(V_{c\gamma}^j).$$

The lemma follows by taking the  $j$ -th component of  $V_{p\pi}^{cnj}$  to be  $V_{c\gamma}^j$ , and for each  $h \neq j$ , taking the  $h$ -th component to be  $V_{d\delta}^h$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 0.2.** Let  $V_{pq}^{cnj}$  be any follower of  $V_p^{cnj}$ . Then, if  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is less than  $r(V_{c\gamma}^j)$ , it is odd.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is even and that

$$r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) < r(V_{c\gamma}^j).$$

Then, there is some component of  $V_{pq}^{cnj}$ , which will henceforth be called  $V_{fg}^k$ , for which

$$r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) = r(V_{fg}^k).$$

From this, it follows from the definition of  $r^*$  that

$$r^*(V_p^{cnj}) \leq r(V_f^k),$$

and from the definition of  $r$  that

$$r(V_f^k) \leq r(V_{fg}^k) + 1 = r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) + 1.$$

By supposition,

$$r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) + 1 < r(V_{c\gamma}^j) + 1.$$

By the definition of  $V_c^j$ ,

$$r(V_{c\gamma}^j) + 1 \leq r(V_c^j) = r^*(V_p^{cnj}).$$

This is, however, a contradiction.  $\square$

*Proof.* Suppose, firstly, that  $r^*(V_p^{cnj}) = r(V_c^j)$  is odd. Then there exists  $V_{c\gamma}^j$  with

$$r(V_{c\gamma}^j) = r(V_c^j) - 1.$$

From the first lemma, there is therefore a  $V_{p\pi}^{cnj}$  with  $r^*(V_{p\pi}^{cnj}) = r(V_p^{cnj}) - 1$ , which is even. It follows from the second lemma that  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is the smallest which is even.

Suppose, now, that  $r^*(V_p^{cnj}) = r(V_c^j)$  is even. Then, if

$$V_{pq}^{cnj} = \{V_{aA}^1, \dots, V_{cC}, \dots, V_{eE}^n\}$$

is any follower of  $V_p^{cnj}$ ,  $r(V_{cC}^j)$  is odd and less than  $r(V_c^j)$ . Thus,

$$r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) \leq r(V_{cC}^j) < r(V_c^j) = r^*(V_p^{cnj})$$

and

$$r^*(V_p^{cnj}) \geq \text{super}_q r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}).$$

However, the first lemma states that there is a  $V_{p\pi}^{cnj}$  such that  $r^*(V_{p\pi}^{cnj}) = r(V_{cC}^j)$ . With that,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{super}_q r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) &\leq \text{super}_\pi r^*(V_{p\pi}^{cnj}) \\ &= \text{super}_C r(V_{cC}^j) \\ &= R(V_c^j) = r^*(V_p^{cnj}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the goal is to show that  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is odd for all  $q$ . Since  $r(V_c^j)$  is even, the follower  $V_{c\gamma}^j$  of  $V_c^j$  can be any of the followers  $V_{cC}^j$ . With that,

$$r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj}) \leq r(V_{cC}^j) = r(V_{c\gamma}^j),$$

which is odd. If there is strict equality,  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is odd. If there is strict inequality,  $r^*(V_{pq}^{cnj})$  is odd by the second lemma. Therefore,  $r$  and  $r^*$  obey the same conditions and are therefore equal.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.3.** Given a game  $G$  that is the conjunctive sum of  $n$  games  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$ , the position  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  is losing if and only if

$$\min(r(x_1), r(x_2), \dots, r(x_n))$$

is even.